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# On algebra generated by derivatives of interval functions 

## Aleksander Maliszewski

### 0.1 Introduction.

In 1982 D. Preiss [4] proved the following
Theorem 0.1.1 Whenever $u: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of the first class, there are functions $f, g$ and $h$ possessing finite derivative everywhere such that $u=f^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime}+h^{\prime}$. Moreover, one can find such a representation that $g^{\prime}$ is bounded and $h^{\prime}$ is Lebesgue and in case $u$ is bounded, such that $f^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ are also bounded,
which was the solution of A . Bruckner's problem concerning the algebra generated by derivatives (it is exactly the first class).

In this article we generalize this theorem changing the domain of $u$. However, we obtain the generalization only for bounded functions. In the proof we use the Preiss's method.

### 0.2 Preliminaries.

In this section we develop notation and state some known results to which we shall refer.

The real line $(-\infty,+\infty)$ we denote by $\mathbb{R}$ and the set of positive integers by N . Throughout this article $m$ is fixed positive integer and the word function means mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ unless otherwise explicitly stated.

A function is said to be in the first class of Baire ( $\mathcal{B}^{1}$ ) if it is a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions (with respect to natural topology). We denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the family of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The Euclidean distance of two points in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, of a point in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and of two subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ are denoted respectively by $\Omega(x, y)$, $\varrho(x, A)=\inf \{\varrho(x, y): y \in A\}$ and $\varrho(A, B)=\inf \{\varrho(x, y): x \in A, y \in B\}$. For each $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ we denote by $|A|$ its outer Lebesgue measure, by diam $A$ its diameter and by $\chi_{A}$ its characteristic function. A differentiation basis is a pair $(\mathcal{I}, \Rightarrow)$, where $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is composed of sets of positive finite Lebesque measure and $\Rightarrow$ is a relation (called the convergency relation) between sequences of elements of $\mathcal{I}$ and points of $\mathbb{I R}^{n}$, such that the following two conctitions hold:

1. for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ there is a sequence $\left\{I_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of elements of $\mathcal{I}$ convergent to $x$.
2. each subsequence of a sequence convergent to some $x$ is also convergent to $x$.

If $P: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then the notation $\lim _{l \rightarrow x} P(I)=a$ means "for each sequence $\left\{I_{n}: n \in N^{*}\right\} \subset \mathcal{I}$, if $\left\{I_{n}: n \in N\right\} \Rightarrow x$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(I_{n}\right)=a$." Wic call function $f$ a derivative (with respect to the differentiation basis $(\mathcal{I}, \Rightarrow)$ ) iff there exists an additive function $F: \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim _{I \rightarrow x} F(I) /|I|=f(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. We say that $f$ is a Lebesgue function (w.r.t. ( $\mathcal{I} . \Rightarrow$ )) iff $\lim _{I \Rightarrow x} \int_{l}|f(t)-f(x)| d t /|I|=0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. (We recall that cach Lebesgue function is approximately continuous and each bounded approximately continuous functions is Lebesguc.) Point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a density point of $A \in E$ (w.r.t. $\left(\mathcal{I}, \Rightarrow\right.$ ) iff $\lim _{l \rightarrow x}|A \cap I| / \mid I=1$. By $A<B$ we denote that $A \subset B$ and each $x \in A$ is a density point of $B$. We call function $f$ approximately continuous iff for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and each $\equiv>0 . x$ is a density point of $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}:|f(?)-f(x)|<E\right\}$. We denote $\operatorname{h}$, a $\vee b(a \wedge b)$ not smaller (not greater of real mombers a and b. respertively $\| f$ is an! function and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
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iff $\{x\}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{x} I_{n}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{diam}_{n}=0$ and $\left.\limsup { }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{diam} I_{n}\right)^{m} /\left|I_{n}\right|<\infty\right)$ and terms ferisative. Lebesgue function, approximately continuous function and lensity point are used with respect to this basis.

The following three theorems are che to M. Chaika [1] and Z. Grande [2].
Theorem 0.2.1 Assume that $A \in \mathcal{L}$. $F$ is closed and $F<A$. Then there is a closed set $B \subset A$ such that $F \prec B$.

Theorom 0.2.2 Assume that $E . F$ are disjoint sets of type $G_{\delta}$, such that the sets $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash E$ and $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash F$ contain only the density points of themselves. Then there cxists an approrimatcly continuous function $f$ such that:

- $f(x)=0$, if $x \in E$.
- $f(x)=1$. if $x \in F$.
- $0<f(x)<1$, if $x \notin(E \cup F)$.

Theorem 0.2.3 Whenever $f \in \mathcal{B}^{1}$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a null set, there is an approximately continuous function $g$ such that $f(x)=g(x)$ for $x \in E$.

### 0.3 Auxiliary lemmas and main results.

Lemma 0.3.1 Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{L} . F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are pairwise disjoint, closed subsels of $B$. such that $F_{i} \prec B$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a Lebesque function $f$ such that $f(x)=c_{i}$ if $x \in F_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, $\int(x)=0$ if $x \notin B$ and $\|f\| \leq \max \left\{c_{i}: i=1 \ldots, n\right\}$.

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, n$ we put $d_{i}=\left\{\varrho\left(x, F_{j}\right): j=1, \ldots, n, j \neq i\right\}$ and $A_{1}=\left\{x \in B: \underline{g}\left(x, F_{1}\right)<d_{1}\right\}$. Then $F_{i}<A_{i}$, since if $x \in F_{i}, x \in I \in \mathcal{I}$ and diam $I<d_{i}$, then $I \cap A_{i}=I \cap B$. Let $B_{i} \subset A_{i}$ be closed and such that $F_{i}<B_{i}$ (Theorem 0.2.1). Find a $C_{i}$ set $C_{1}$ containing all points of $B_{i}$ that are not points of density of $B_{i}$. such that $\left|C_{i}\right|=0$ and $C_{i} \cap F_{i}=0$. Apply Theorem 0.2.2 to find an approximately contimous function $f_{i}$ such that:

- $f(x)=0$. if $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\left(B_{i} \backslash C_{i}\right)$,
- $f_{2}(x)=1$. if $x \in F_{i}$.
- $0 \leq i_{1} \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

Put $f=c_{1} \cdot f_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} \cdot f_{n}$. This function satisfies claimed conditions since the sets $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ are pairwise disjoint.

Lemma 0.3.2 Suppose that the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is nonvoid, bounded and measurable, and function $v$ is measurable, such that $\left\|v \cdot \chi_{A}\right\| \leq c<\infty$. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exist approximately continuous functions $f$ and $g$, such that
i) $f(x)=g(x)=0$ for $x \notin A$,
ii) $\|f\| \leq c \vee \sqrt{c},\|g\| \leq 1 \wedge \sqrt{c}$,
iii) $\left|\int_{I} f(t) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon,\left|\int_{I} g(t) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for every interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$,
iv) $\int_{A}|v(t)-f(t) \cdot g(t)| d t \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. Represent $A$ as a union of nonempty, pairwise disjoint measurable sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$, such that

$$
\operatorname{diam} A_{i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon \cdot(1 \vee \operatorname{diam} A)^{1-m}}{3 m \cdot(1 \vee c)} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega\left(v, A_{i}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3|A|+1}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$. For $i=1, \ldots, n$, find closed, pairwise disjoint sets $P_{i}, Q_{i} \prec A_{i}$ such that $\left|P_{i}\right|=\left|Q_{i}\right|$ and $\left|A_{i}\right|\left(P_{i} \cup Q_{i}\right) \mid \leq \varepsilon /(3 n \cdot(1 \vee c))$. Choose also any $x_{i} \in A_{i}$. Put $a_{i}=\left|v\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \vee \sqrt{\left|v\left(x_{i}\right)\right|}$ and $b_{i}=\left(1 \wedge \sqrt{\left|v\left(x_{i}\right)\right|}\right) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}\left(v\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $f$ and $g$ be Lebesgue functions such that:

- $f(x)=a_{i}, g(x)=b_{i}$, if $x \in P_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$,
- $f(x)=-a_{i}, g(x)=-b_{i}$, if $x \in Q_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$,
- $f(x)=0, y(x)=0$, if $x \notin A$,
- $\|f\| \leq c \vee \sqrt{c},\|g\| \leq 1 \wedge \sqrt{c}$.
(We use Lemma 0.3.1.) Then i) and ii) are obviously satisfied. Since for $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\left|\int_{A_{1}} f(t) d t\right|=\left|\int_{A_{1} \backslash\left(P_{1} \cup Q_{1}\right)} f(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{(c \vee \sqrt{c}) \cdot \varepsilon}{3 n \cdot(1 \vee c)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3 n}
$$

whorery interal $/$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{l} f(t) d t\right| & =\left|\int_{I \cap A} f(t) \cdot l t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{1}} f(t) d t\right|+\sum_{A, \backslash l \neq \emptyset}\left|\int_{I \cap A_{1}} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq n \cdot \varepsilon /(3 n)+\int_{i \cap \bigcup_{A, V \neq \emptyset}}|f(t)| d t \leq \varepsilon / 3+\left||f \| \cdot| I \cap \bigcup_{A, \backslash l \neq \theta} A_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \varepsilon / 3+(c \vee \sqrt{c}) \cdot 2 m \cdot \max \left\{\operatorname{diam} A_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\} \cdot(\operatorname{diam} A)^{m-1} \\
& \leq \varepsilon / 3+2 m \cdot(c \vee \sqrt{c}) \cdot(\operatorname{diam} A)^{m-1} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon \cdot(1 \vee \operatorname{diam} A)^{1-m}}{3 m \cdot(1 \vee c)} \leq \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $\left|\int_{A} g(t) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon /(3 n)$ for $i=1 \ldots, n$ and $\left|\int_{I} g(t) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for every interval $I$. Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A}|v(t)-f(t) \cdot g(t)| d t=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{1}}|c(t)-f(t) \cdot g(t)| d t \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{P_{1} \cup Q_{1}}|r(t)-f(t) \cdot g(t)| d t+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{1} \backslash\left(P_{1} \cup Q_{1}\right)}|v(t)-f(t) \cdot g(t)| d t \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|v-f\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot g\left(x_{i}\right)\right\| \cdot\left|A_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|v-f \cdot g\| \cdot\left|A_{i} \backslash\left(P_{i} \cup Q_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega\left(u \cdot A_{i}\right) \cdot\left|A_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 c \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3 n \cdot(1 \vee c)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3|A|+1} \cdot|A|+2 n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3 n} \leq \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 0.3.3 Assume that $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of pairvise disjoint compart subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of non-negative real numbers such that the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{n} \cdot \chi_{H_{n}}$ belongs to the first class of Bare. Then there is a sequence $\sum_{1}, \Sigma_{2}, \ldots$ of positive numbers such that the follow'my conditions hold:
 and $\int_{1} f_{n}(t) l^{\prime} \leq E_{n}$ for orery intreral l. then function $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}$ is a ditricutirt.
ii) if $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots$ are approximatcly continurus functions. such that for cach $u \in N, \quad\left|u_{n}\right| \leq K_{n} \cdot \lambda H_{n}$ and $\left|\int_{R^{m}} u_{n}(t) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n_{i}}$. then function $u^{\prime}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}$ is a Lebcsguc function.

Proof. Since the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{n}^{\prime} \cdot \lambda H_{n}$ is in the first class of Baire, there exists a family of compact sets $\mathcal{T}=\left\{T_{1}: i \in N\right\}$, such that for each $r>0$ the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{n} \cdot \lambda H_{n}<r\right\}$ is a union of some subfamily of $\mathcal{T}$. For each $n \in N \operatorname{set} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n}=\bigcup_{i<n} M_{i} \cup \underset{\substack{T_{1} \cap H_{n}=\theta \\ 1<n}}{\bigcup} T_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=2^{-n} \cdot\left(1 \wedge\left(\varrho\left(H_{n}, \widetilde{H}_{n}\right)\right)^{m+1}\right)$.
i) Since for every interval $I$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{I} f_{n}(t) d t$ is absolutely convergent, so the interval function $F(I)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{l} f_{n}(t) d t$ is additive. We will show that it satisfies the following condition:
( $\Sigma$ ) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an $\eta>0$. such that for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, if $x \in I$ and $\operatorname{diam} I<\eta$. then $\frac{|F(I)-f(x) \cdot| I|\mid}{(\operatorname{diam} I)^{m}}<\varepsilon$.

Then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and each sequence $\left\{I_{n}: n \in \mathcal{N}\right\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ convergent to $x$ we will have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{F\left(I_{n}\right)}{\left|I_{n}\right|}-f(x)\right| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{F\left(I_{n}\right)-f(x) \cdot\left|I_{n}\right|}{\left|I_{n}\right|}\right| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{F\left(I_{n}\right)-f(x) \cdot\left|I_{n}\right|}{(\operatorname{diam} I)^{m}}\right| \cdot \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\operatorname{diam} I)^{m}}{\left|I_{n}\right|}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\lim _{I \rightarrow x} \frac{F(I)}{|I|}=f(x)$.

Take an $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Note first that if $x \in H_{p}$ for some $p \in N$, then for every $n>p$ and every interval $I$. if $x \in I$. then:

- $H_{n} \cap I \neq 0$ implies $\varrho\left(H_{n}, \pi_{n}\right) \leq \operatorname{diam} I$. so

$$
\left|\int_{1} \int_{n}(1) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n} \leq 2^{-n} \cdot\left(0\left(1 I_{n} \cdot \pi_{n}\right)\right)^{m_{n+1}} \leq 2^{-n} \cdot(\operatorname{diam} I)^{n_{1}+1}
$$

- $H_{n} \cap I=0$ implies $\int_{1} \int_{n}(t) d t=0$.

Hence if diam $I<\varepsilon$, then $\left|\frac{\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} f_{I} f_{n}(t) d t}{(\text { diam } I)^{n}}\right| \leq \varepsilon$, i.e., $\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \int_{1} f_{n}(t) d t$ satisfies condition ( $(\Sigma)$ with respect to $\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} f_{n}$, and since each of functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}$ is a derivative, so condition $(\Sigma)$ is in this case satisfied.

On the other side. if $x \nexists \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{n}$, then for $r=\varepsilon / 2$ choose $p \in \mathrm{~N}$, such that $x \in T_{p}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{n} \cdot \chi_{H_{n}}<r$ on $T_{p}$. Then for every $n>p$ and every interval $I$, if $x \in I$, then:

- $H_{n} \cap T_{p} \neq \emptyset$ implies $K_{n}<r$, so

$$
\left|\int_{I} f_{n}(t) \cdot d t\right| \leq r \cdot|I| \leq r \cdot(\operatorname{diam} I)^{m}
$$

- if $H_{n} \cap T_{p}=\emptyset$ and $H_{n} \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then $\varrho\left(H_{n}, \widetilde{H}_{n}\right) \leq \operatorname{diam} I$, so

$$
\left|\int_{1} \int_{n}(t) d t\right| \leq \varepsilon \leq 2^{-n} \cdot\left(\underline{g}\left(H_{n}, \widetilde{H}_{n}\right)\right)^{m+1} \leq 2^{-n} \cdot(\operatorname{diam} I)^{m+1},
$$

- finally $I_{n} \cap I=0$ implics $\int_{1} f_{n}(t) d t=0$.

Hence for every interval $I$ of diameter less than $\varrho\left(x, \bigcup_{n=1}^{p} H_{n}\right) \wedge(\varepsilon / 2)$

$$
\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{1} f_{n}(t) \cdot d l}{(\operatorname{diam} I)^{m}}\right| \leq r+\operatorname{diam} I \leq \varepsilon,
$$

so condition (I) is in this case also satisfied, because $f(x)=0$.
ii) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Put $u=\sum_{\| I_{n} \ni x} w_{n}$ and $v=w-u$. Since $u$ is bounded and approximately continuous, $x$ is a Lebesgue point for $u$. On the other side from i) $|v|$ is a derivative, and since $v(x)=0 . x$ is a Lebesgue point for $v$. Hence $x$ is a Lebesgue point for $u$.

Lemma 0.3.4 Whenerer a is a function of the firot class of Baire there exist a function of the first class of Baire $r$. a safucnce $\left\{I_{n}: u \in N\right\}$ of pairvise disjoint compact artis und a srigurner $\left\{c_{n}: n \in \mathbb{X}\right\}$ of positive numbers such that the folloneing comditions. ary sulti.fird:
i) $u-v$ is an approsimatriy continuous function.
ii) "is approximately continuous at all points of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n}$.
iii) $v(x)=0$, if $x \in H_{n}$ for some $\| \in \mathcal{N}$ and $x$ is not a density point of $H_{n}$.
iv) $|r| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} \cdot \backslash I_{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.
v) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} \cdot h_{n}$ belongs to the first class of Buire.
vi) $v$ is bounded provided that u is bounded.

Proof. Let $E$ be a set of measure 0 containing all points of approximate discontinuity of $u$, such that $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash E$ is a 0 -dimensional space. Let ${ }^{2}$ be an approximately continuous function. such that $\varphi(x)=u(x)$ for $x \in E$ (Theorem 0.2.3). Put $v_{1}=u-\hat{y}$ and $. \bar{Y}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}: \mathcal{f}(x) \neq u(x)\right\}$. Then function $\log \left|v_{1}\right|$ is a function of the first class of Baire on $X$, so there exists a function $g: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the first class of Baire on $X$, such that the set of its values is discrete and $|\log | x,(x)|-g(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \in X(\xi 31$, Chapter VIII. Theorem 3 in [3]). Using that $X$ is a 0 -dimensional space and an $F_{\sigma}$ set. we can represent $I$ as the umon of pairwise disjoint compact sets $\mathrm{V}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n}$, such that $g$ is constant on each $I_{n}$ (830. Chapter $V$ in 3 ) Put $w(x)=\exp (g(x)+1)$, if $x \in X$ and $w(x)=0$ otherwise. Let $r_{n}$ be the value of $w$ on $I_{n}(n \in N)$. Then condition $v$ ) is satisfied because $u$ is non-negative and for every $a>0$

- $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: w(x)>n\right\}=\{r \in \mathbb{X}: g(x)>-1+\mathfrak{j} u\} \in F$,
- $\left\{r \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n}: u|r|<u\right\}=$

$$
=\{x \in \lambda: u(x)<-1+\log u\} \cup\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}:\left|m_{1}(x)\right|<u \cdot e^{-2}\right\} \boxminus F_{\pi} .
$$

Let $E_{1}$ be a set of measure 10 containing all points of approximate discontimuty of function $r_{1}$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. sucis that $x \in \mathscr{M}_{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x$ is not a density point of $H_{n}$. Pat $v=\left(\omega_{1} \wedge\left(M_{1} \vee 0\right)\right.$ ) $\left(v_{1} \wedge 0\right)$. where $\because$
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Theorem 0.3.5 Whenever $u$ is a function of the first class there exist a derivative $f$, a bounded derivative $g$ and an aproximately continuous function $h$ such that $u=f \cdot g+h$ (all notions with respect to the ordinary differentiation basis). In case $u$ is bounded we can find such a representation that $f$ and $h$ are also bounded. (So, in particular, $h$ is a Lebesgue function.)

Proof. Choose an approximately continuous function $v$ a sequence of pairwise disjoint compact sets $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ and a sequence of reals $\left\{c_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ according to Lemma 0.3.4. For the sequences $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ and $\left\{K_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$, where $K_{n}=c_{n} \vee \sqrt{c}_{n}$, we find positive numbers $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ according to Lemma 0.3.3. For each $n$ use Lemma 0.3 .2 with $A=H_{n}$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{n}$ to construct functions $f_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ with properties described there. From Lemma 0.3.3 we see that the functions $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}$ and $g=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n}$ are derivatives. Using the conditions ii) and iii) of Lemma 0.3 .4 we get that for each $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ function $v \cdot \chi H_{n}$ is approximately continuous. By Lemma 0.3 .3 we get that function $v-f \cdot g$ is a Lebesgue function (since $v-f \cdot g=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(v \cdot \chi_{H_{n}}-f_{n} \cdot g_{n}\right)$ ). Therefore the function $h=u-f \cdot g=(u-v)+(v-f \cdot g)$ is approximately continuous.

If $u$ is bounded then so is $v$ and, consequently, so is $f$ and hence so is $h$. The other conditions are obvious.

### 0.4 Queries.

Query 0.4.1* Given an unbounded Baire one function $u: \mathbb{R}^{m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, can we find dcrivatives $f, g$ and $h$ (with respect to the ordinary differentiation basis) such that $u=f \cdot g+h$ ?

Query 0.4.2 Given a function $u$ in the first class of Baire, can we find derivatives $f, g$ and $h$ (with respect to the strong differentiation basis) such that $u=f \cdot g+h$ ?
(We recall that strong differentiation basis is a pair $(\mathcal{I}, \Rightarrow)$, such that $\mathcal{I}$ is the family of all $m$-dimensional intervals and $\left\{I_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\} \Rightarrow x$ iff $\{x\}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n}$

[^0]and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{diam} I_{n}=0$.)
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[^0]:    *Recently, R. Carrese answered this question in the positive-R. Carrese, On the algebra generated by derivatives of interval functions, Real Analysis Exchange 14 (2), (1988-89), 307-320.

