

On the Geometrical Properties of Starlike Maps in Banach Spaces

Tadeusz Poreda

1. Introduction.

Let us denote by X the complex Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. The open ball $\{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < r\}$ is denoted by $B(x_0, r)$; the unit ball, for short by $B(0, 1) = B$. The class of all continuous linear functionals on X regarded as a complex linear space we denote by X' . For each $x \in X$ we define the set

$$T(x) = \{x' \in X' : \|x'\| = 1, \quad x'(x) = \|x\|\}.$$

If Y is another complex Banach space and Ω is the region in X then the function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is called (F)-differentiable at the point $x_0 \in \Omega$ when there exists the limit

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\beta} [f(x_0 + \beta h) - f(x_0)] = Df(x_0)(h)$$

for all $h \in X$ and $Df(x_0)$ is the bounded linear operator from X into Y , what means $Df(x_0) \in L(X, Y)$. The norm in $L(X, Y)$ will be

$$\|A\| = \sup\{ \|A(x)\| : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \quad \text{for } A \in L(X, Y).$$

The letter I will always represent the identity map on X . We call the map $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ holomorphic on $\Omega \subset X$ when f is (F)-differentiable at

all points of Ω . By $H(\Omega)$ we denote the class of holomorphic functions given on Ω with values in X . Then let M be the following subset of $H(B)$:

$$M = \{h \in H(B) : h(0) = 0, Dh(0) = I, \operatorname{re} x'(h(x)) > 0 \\ \text{for } x \in B - \{0\} \text{ and } x' \in T(x)\}.$$

We shall say that the function $f \in H(B)$ is a starlike map if and only if f is one-to-one, $f(0) = 0$ and $(1-t)f(B) \subset f(B)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

In this paper we will study the class $\mathcal{G}_0(B)$ of all function $f \in H(B)$ which satisfy the conditions : $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = I$, f is locally biholomorphic on B and $f(B)$ is a starlike region in X .

We will consider X with a semi-inner product structure (introduced by Lummer and Philips in [5] and [6]) to obtain the results analogous as in [4]. In a Banach space X we get the semi-inner product as it follows. Let us choose one nonzero element with the norm equal to 1 from each complex line in X containing the point $x = 0$ and denote the set of all chosen elements by X_0 . Then, for each $y \in X_0$ let us insert any functional $J_0(y) \in T(y)$. We have defined the map $J_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X'$. Let us extend that map onto X putting $J(\lambda y) = \bar{\lambda}J_0(y)$ for $y \in X_0$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ (\mathcal{C} denotes the set of complex numbers). Now we can define the semi-inner product denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For $x, y \in X$ we put

$$\langle x, y \rangle = J(y)(x).$$

It has the following properties:

- a) it maps $X \times X$ into \mathcal{C} ,
- b) $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle, \langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle, \langle x, \lambda y \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle$
for $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$,
- c) $\langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$ for each $x \in X$
- d) $|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle x, x \rangle \cdot \langle y, y \rangle$ for $x, y \in X$.

2. The parametric representation of starlike maps of the unit ball in Banach spaces.

Lemma 1 *Let $h \in M$. Then for each $x \in B$ the initial value problem*

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(x, t) = -h(v(x, t)), \quad v(x, 0) = x$$

has the unique solution $v(x, t)$ which is defined for all $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, for all these t the function $v_t(x) = v(x, t)$ is the univalent Schwarz function on B which has its derivatives for all orders with respect to the pair of variables $(x, t) \in B \times [0, \infty)$.

The following inequalities hold

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\|v(x, t)\|}{(1+\|v(x, t)\|)^2} \geq e^{-t} \frac{\|x\|}{(1+\|x\|)^2} \\ \frac{\|v(x, t)\|}{(1-\|v(x, t)\|)^2} \leq e^{-t} \frac{\|x\|}{(1-\|x\|)^2} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problem (1) follow from Lemma 5 in the paper [3]. From that paper we have as well that the function $v_t(x) = v(x, t)$ is the univalent Schwarz function on B for every fixed $t \geq 0$. By applying Theorem IX 5' from [7] to the function v the existence of its derivatives of all orders with respect to $(x, t) \in B \times [0, \infty)$ can easily be proved. Hence we have to prove only the inequalities (2). Lemma 5 in [3] implies that for almost every $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\|v(x, t)\| \frac{\partial \|v(x, t)\|}{\partial t} = \operatorname{re} \left\langle \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t}, v(x, t) \right\rangle$$

Using the inequality (24) from [3] and $Dh(0) = I$ we obtain for all $x \in B$ and almost all $t \in [0, \infty)$

$$\operatorname{re} \langle h(v(x, t)), v(x, t) \rangle \geq \frac{1 - \|v(x, t)\|}{1 + \|v(x, t)\|} \|v(x, t)\|^2.$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial \|v(x, t)\|}{\partial t} \leq -\frac{1 - \|v(x, t)\|}{1 + \|v(x, t)\|} \|v(x, t)\|$$

for $x \in B$ and a.e. on $[0, \infty)$.

Since $\|v(x, t)\|$ is an absolutely continuous function with respect to the parameter t , then by integrating each side of the inequality

$$\frac{1 + \|v(x, t)\|}{(1 - \|v(x, t)\|)\|v(x, t)\|} \cdot \frac{\partial \|v(x, t)\|}{\partial t} \leq -1$$

on the interval $[0, t]$ we obtain

$$\frac{\|v(x, t)\|}{(1 - \|v(x, t)\|)^2} \leq e^{-t} \frac{\|x\|}{(1 - \|x\|)^2}$$

for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$.

The proof of the second inequality of (2) is analogous.

Lemma 2 *If $h \in M$ then*

$$|\langle \frac{1}{2!} D^2 h(0)(x, x), x \rangle| \leq 2$$

for $x \in B$.

Proof. Let $x \in B$ and $x \neq 0$. Considering the construction of semi-inner product we notice that if $h \in M$ then the function

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda \|x\|} \langle h(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \lambda), x \rangle & \text{for } 0 < |\lambda| < 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic for $|\lambda| < 1$, and $\operatorname{re} p(\lambda) > 0$ for $|\lambda| < 1$. Thus

$$|p'(0)| \leq 2.$$

It can also be shown that

$$p'(0) = \frac{\langle \frac{1}{2!} D^2 h(0)(x, x), x \rangle}{\|x\|^3}.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{2!} D^2 h(0)(x, x), x \right\rangle \right| \leq 2$$

which ends the proof.

Theorem 1 *Let $h \in M$ and for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$, $v(x, t)$ be the solution of the problem (1) and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, t)$ be an invertible linear operator. Then v satisfies the differential equation*

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, t)h(x) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(x, t)$$

for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 1 from [4] can be strictly repeated in our case.

Theorem 2 *If a function $v = v(x, t)$ for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$ satisfies the equation (1) with any fixed $h \in M$, then for all $x \in B$ there exists the limit*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t) = f(x)$$

and the function f is holomorphic on B .

Proof. We denote $u(x, t) = e^t v(x, t)$ for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$. Thus u fulfils the equation

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = u(x, t) - e^t h(e^{-t} u(x, t)), \quad u(x, 0) = x.$$

For $x \in B$ we will denote $G(x) = h(x) - x$. G is holomorphic on B , $G(0) = 0$ and $DG(0) = 0$. Using the new notation we can rewrite (7) in the form

$$(7') \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = -e^t G(e^{-t} u(x, t)), \quad u(x, 0) = x$$

for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$.

Now after we integrated the equation (7') on the interval $[t_1, t_2]$, where $0 < t_1 < t_2$ we obtain

$$(8) \quad u(z, t_2) - u(z, t_1) = - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^\tau G(e^{-\tau} u(z, \tau)) d\tau.$$

For G is holomorphic on B so it is also locally bounded on B . Hence there exists such a ball $B(0, r) \subset B$ that for all $x \in B(0, r)$ we have $\|G(x)\| \leq K$ where K is a positive constant from Cauchy integral formula (see [2] p.101) there exists another ball $B(0, \delta) \subset B(0, \frac{r}{2})$ such that for all $a, x \in B(0, \delta)$ the following equality holds

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2!} D^2 G(a)(x, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=1} f(a + tx) t^{-3} dt$$

Since $a, x \in B(0, \delta)$ then $a + tx \in B(0, r)$ when $|t| = 1$. Combining this fact with (9) we will get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 G(a)(x, x) \right\| \leq K$$

for $a, x \in B(0, \delta)$. The second derivative of G at the point $a \in B$ is a bilinear operator, then

$$(10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2!} D^2 G(a)(y, y) \right\| \leq \frac{K \|y\|^2}{\delta^2}$$

when $a \in B(0, \delta)$ and $y \in X$.

Now we use the Taylor formula and inequality (10) to obtain

$$(11) \quad \|G(y)\| \leq \frac{K \|y\|^2}{\delta^2}$$

for $y \in B(0, \delta)$.

On account of (2), for each $r \in (0, 1)$ there exists some $\tau_r \geq 0$ such that, for $\tau > \tau_r$ and $\|x\| < r$

$$\frac{u(x, \tau)}{\tau} \in B(0, \delta).$$

Using (8) and (11) we establish

$$(12) \quad \|u(x, t_2) - u(x, t_1)\| \leq K \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tau^2 e^{-\tau} d\tau.$$

for $\|x\| < r$ and $t_1, t_2 > \tau_r$.

The function $g(\tau) = \tau^2 e^{-\tau}$ is integrable on the interval $(0, \infty)$. This implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tau'_r > 0$ such that for $t_1, t_2 > \tau'_r$

$$\sup_{\|x\| < r} \|u(x, t_1) - u(x, t_2)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, the Weierstrass theorem (see [2], proposition 6.5) and completeness of X yield that there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t)$ for all $x \in B$ and it forms the holomorphic function on B . It ends the proof.

Corollary 1 *If $v = v(x, t)$ for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$ fulfils the initial value problem (1) with some $h \in M$, then*

$$(13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (-e^{-t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(x, t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t)$$

for all $x \in B$.

Proof. We will use the notations as in Theorem 2. It remains to show that for all $x \in B$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = 0.$$

Considering (7') we can remark that for $t > \tau_r$ and $\|x\| < r$

$$\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t)\| \leq K t^2 e^{-t}.$$

It implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = 0$ for $x \in B$ what completes the proof.

Lemma 1 lets us to prove, similarly as in [4], the following theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let $h \in M$ and $v = v(x, t)$ for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$ be the solution of (1). Then the limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t) = f(x)$$

is a starlike function on B such that $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = I$ and $f(v(x, t)) = e^{-t} f(x)$ for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 4 Let $h \in M$ and $v = v(x, t)$ for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$ be a solution of equation (1). If a map f defined by the equality

$$f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t) \quad \text{for } x \in B$$

is locally biholomorphic, then it satisfies the equation

$$Df(x)h(x) = f(x), \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

Furthermore, f is a unique locally biholomorphic solution of this equation such that $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = I$.

Proof. Let v and f satisfy the assumption our theorem. From Theorem 3 we infer that

$$f(v(x, t)) = e^{-t} f(x), \quad \text{for } x \in B \quad \text{and } t \geq 0.$$

This equality implies that

$$Df(v(x, t)) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, t) = e^{-t} Df(x), \quad \text{for } x \in B \quad \text{and } t \geq 0.$$

Since f is a locally biholomorphic map then, in virtue of the above equality, we obtain that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, t)$ is an invertible linear operator for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$. A continuation of the proof of this theorem runs similarly as that of Theorem 4 from [4].

Theorem 5 If $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$, then

$$f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t),$$

where $v(x, t)$, for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$ is a solution of equation (1) with function $h(x) = (Df(x))^{-1} f(x)$ for $x \in B$

Proof. From the assumption it follows that $(Df)^{-1} \circ f \in M$. So by Theorem 2 for all $x \in B$ there exists the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t)$. Since $h = (Df)^{-1} \circ f$ and v satisfies the equation (1), we have

$$\frac{\partial f(v(x, t))}{\partial t} = -f(v(x, t)) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, 0) = x$$

for $x \in B$ and $t \geq 0$. Integrating this equation we get

$$f(v(x, t)) = e^{-t} f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in B \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$v(x, t) = f^{-1}(e^{-t} f(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in B \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

It is not difficult to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t) = f(x)$ for $x \in B$.

3. The geometrical properties of starlike maps of the unit ball in Banach spaces.

Theorem 6 *If $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$, then the following inequalities hold*

$$(14) \quad \frac{\|x\|}{(1 + \|x\|)^2} \leq \|f(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{(1 - \|x\|)^2}$$

for all $x \in B$.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$ then from Theorem 7 in [3], there exists such a function $h \in M$ that f satisfies the equation

$$Df(x)h(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in B.$$

Theorem 5 shows that

$$f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t v(x, t) \quad \text{for } x \in B,$$

where $v(x, t)$ fulfils (with the given function h) the equation (1). Hence

$$\|f(x)\| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^t \|v(x, t)\| \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

The inequalities (2) take place for the function v , so

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(x, t)\| = 0$$

for $x \in B$ and consequently

$$\frac{\|x\|}{(1 + \|x\|)^2} \leq \|f(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{(1 - \|x\|)^2}$$

for all $x \in B$.

Theorem 7 *If $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$, then for all $x \in B$*

$$(15) \quad \|(Df(x))^{-1}\| \geq \frac{(1 - \|x\|)^3}{1 + \|x\|}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$. There exists $h \in M$ such that f satisfies the equality

$$Df(x)h(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

(see Th. 7 from [3]). Next we can remark that

$$\langle h(x), x \rangle = \langle (Df(x))^{-1}f(x), x \rangle$$

for $x \in B$ and, considering (24) from [3], that

$$(16) \quad \operatorname{re}\langle h(x), x \rangle \geq \|x\|^2 \frac{1 - \|x\|}{1 + \|x\|} \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

Using the properties c) and d) of the semi-inner product we obtain

$$(16') \quad |\langle h(x), x \rangle| \leq \|(Df(x))^{-1}f(x)\| \cdot \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

The properties of the linear operator's norm and the inequalities (14) give us

$$\|(Df(x))^{-1}f(x)\| \leq \|(Df(x))^{-1}\| \frac{\|x\|^2}{(1 - \|x\|)^2} \quad \text{for } x \in B$$

and further, applying (16) and (16')

$$\|x\|^2 \frac{1 - \|x\|}{1 + \|x\|} \leq \|(Df(x))^{-1}\| \cdot \frac{\|x\|^2}{(1 - \|x\|)^2} \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

It gives (15).

Theorem 8 *If $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$, then*

$$(17) \quad \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{2!} D^2 f(0)(x, x), x \right\rangle \right| \leq 2 \quad \text{for } x \in B.$$

Proof. The paper [3] shows the existence of such a function $h \in M$ that $Df(x)h(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in B$. Let us fix $x_0 \in B$. We will consider two functions

$$G_{x_0}(\lambda) = Df(\lambda x_0)h(\lambda x_0),$$

$$H_{x_0}(\lambda) = f(\lambda x_0)$$

for $|\lambda| < 1$. They are holomorphic on the unit ball in \mathcal{C} and map it into the Banach space X . Naturally $G_{x_0} = H_{x_0}$.

It is easy to show that

$$G_{x_0}(0) = 2D^2f(0)(x_0, x_0) + D^2h(0)(x_0, x_0)$$

and

$$H_{x_0}(0) = D^2f(0)(x_0, x_0)$$

Hence

$$D^2f(0)(x_0, x_0) + D^2h(0)(x_0, x_0) = 0.$$

Taking an arbitrary $x \in B$ we can obtain

$$D^2f(0)(x, x) = -D^2h(0)(x, x).$$

Now one should apply lemma 2 to get (17).

Theorem 9 *If $f \in \mathcal{G}_0(B)$, then for each $n \in N$ and $n \geq 2$*

$$(18) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{n!} D^{(n)}f(0)(x^n) \right\| \leq \frac{e^2}{4} (n+1)^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in B.$$

Proof. Let x' be the fixed functional from X' such that $\|x'\| = 1$ and let $x_0 \in B$. We define the function F_{x_0} in the following way

$$F_{x_0}(\lambda) = x'(f(\lambda x_0)) \quad \text{for } |\lambda| < 1.$$

This function is holomorphic on the unit ball in \mathcal{C} and with regard to theorem 6, satisfies the condition

$$|F_{x_0}(\lambda)| \leq \frac{|\lambda| \|x_0\|}{1 - |\lambda| \|x_0\|} \quad \text{for } |\lambda| < 1.$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality to

$$F_{x_0}^{(n)}(0) = x'(D^{(n)}f(0)(x_0^n)) \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{n!} x'(D^{(n)}f(0)(x_0^n)) \right| \leq \frac{\|x_0\|}{r^{n-1}(1-r\|x_0\|)^2}$$

for each $0 < r < 1$ and $n \in N$, $n \geq 2$. Since

$$\min_{0 < r < 1} \frac{\|x_0\|}{r^{n-1}(1-r\|x_0\|)^2} \leq \frac{e^2}{4}(n+1)^2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2,$$

then

$$(19) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n!} x'(D^{(n)}f(0)(x_0^n)) \right| \leq \frac{e^2}{4}(n+1)^2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Using Theorem 8:3 from [1] p.139, for any fixed $x_0 \in B$ and $n \geq 0$ we conclude that there exists such a functional $x'_0 \in X'$ that $\|x'_0\| = 1$ and

$$x'_0(D^{(n)}f(0)(x_0^n)) = \|D^{(n)}f(0)(x_0^n)\|.$$

Now, applying (19) we obtain (18).

Remark In the case $X = \mathcal{C}$ the results of Theorems 6,7 and 8 assume the form of the well know estimations for starlike functions of one complex variable. So when $X = \mathcal{C}$ the inequality (14) gives us

$$\frac{|z|}{(1+|z|)^2} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2} \quad \text{for } |z| < 1,$$

from (15) we get

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{(1-|z|)^3} \quad \text{for } |z| < 1$$

and from (17)

$$\left| \frac{1}{2!} f''(0) \right| \leq 2.$$

This fact implies that we cannot improve obtained results generally for an arbitrary Banach space.

References

- [1] Aleksiewicz A., *Analiza funkcjonalna*, PWN Warszawa 1969
- [2] Bochnak J., Siciak J., *Analytic functions in topological vector spaces*, *Studia Math.* 39(1971), 77-112.
- [3] Gurganus K. R., Φ - like holomorphic functions in C^n and Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 205(1975), 389-406.
- [4] Kubicka E., Poreda T., *On the parametric representation of star-like maps of the unit ball in C^n into C^n* , (in preparation).
- [5] Lumer G., *Semi-inner product spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 100 (1961), 29-33.
- [6] Lumer G., Philips R. S., *Dissipative operators in a Banach space*, *Pacific J. Math.* 11(1961), 679-698.
- [7] Maurin K., *Analiza*, part 1, PWN Warszawa 1971.

POLITECHNIKA ŁÓDZKA
INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI
Al. Politechniki 11
93 590 Łódź, Poland