ZESZYTY NAUKOWE WYŻSZEJ SZKOŁY PEDAGOGICZNEJ w BYDGOSZCZY Problemy Matematyczne 1985 z. 7

WŁODZIMIERZ ŚLĘZAK

WSP w Bydgoszczy

ON CEDERS CONTINUITY PROPERTY AND BAIRE I SELECTORS

It is well-known that if b and t are real-valued functions defined on a perfectly normal topological space, with  $b \le t$ , then there exists a continuous function f such that  $b \le f \le t$ provided b is upper semi-continuous and t is lower semicontinuous (see [1],[5]-[7],[11],[13]). More generally, a lower semi-continuous set-valued function F from any perfectly normal space into the hyperspace of nonempty convex subsets of the real line R admits a continuous selector f (i.e.  $\frac{1}{3}(x) \le F(x)$  for all x), [10].

The purpose of this paper is to characterize those set-valued mappings from a given perfectly normal space into the family of non-empty intervals of R which admit continuous selectors. As a consequence we obtain characterizations for the insertion of continuous function between two comparable functions, in case  $b \leq t$ . Our theorem 1 can be viewed as an improvement of Ceders characterization([3], th. 1;[2], th. 1).

Using this improvement we are able to generalize the main result of the paper [2] onto the case of multifunctions defined on an euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . This solves some problem posed by J. Ceder in [4]. Let us recall that a real-valued function f on X is said to be lower semicontinuous (briefly lsc) (resp. upper semicontinuous = usc) provided for all  $x \in X$ 

lim inf  $f(z) \ge f(x)$  $z \rightarrow x$ 

(resp. lim sup  $f(z) \leq f(x)$ ).  $z \rightarrow x$ 

Some useful facts about semi-continuous functions are (cf.[]]; (1) f is lsc (resp usc) if and only if  $\{x : f(x) > a\}$ (resp.  $\{x : f(x) < a\}$  is open for each  $a \in R$ ; (2) a lsc (resp. usc) function achieves its minimum (resp. maximum) on each compact set ;

(3) the minimum (resp. maximum) of two lsc (resp. usc) functions is again lsc (resp. usc)

(4) the set of continuity points of a semicontinuous function is residual in X.

A set-valued mapping F from any topological space X into the family of nonvoid subsets of a topological space Y is said to be lower semicontinuous if  $F^{-}(V) := \{x \in X: F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X for every open V in Y. It is easily seen that if  $f \leq g$  on X and f is use, and g is lee, then F is lee, where F(x) := [f(x), g(x)] of. [10], Ex. 1.2., p.362). We will always identify a function with its graph. By  $f \mid A$ we mean the restriction of f to A. By C(f) is meant the set of continuity points of f. We denote for any f and x

 $f_x(x) = \lim \inf f(z)$  and  $f^*(x) = \lim \sup f(z)$  $z \rightarrow x$   $z \rightarrow x$ 

THEOREM 1. Suppose  $F : X \rightarrow R$  is a set-valued mapping from a perfectly normal space X with non-empty convex subsets of the real line R as values. Then, there exists a continuous selector for F if and only if for all  $x \in X$ 

(i)  $b''(x) := \lim_{z \to x} \sup_{z \to x} b(z) \le \lim_{z \to x} \inf_{z \to x} t(z) := t_{\downarrow}(x);$ 

(11)  $F(x) \cap [b^{x}(x), t_{x}(x)] \neq \emptyset$ 

where b(x) and t(x) are the inf and sup of F(x) respectively. Proof. Suppose f is a continuous selector for F. Then clearly  $b^{*}(x) \leq f(x) \leq t_{y}(x)$  from which both (i) and (ii) follow. Now suppose (i) and (ii) hold. Define  $G(x) := F(x) \cap [b^{*}(x), t_{y}(x)] = :$  =: [k(x), 1(x)], and observe that G has nonempty convex values. It is easy to verify that sup G(x) = 1(x) is lsc. In fact, for  $x \in X$  either  $1(x) = t_{y}(x)$  or 1(x) = t(x) and  $t_{y}(x) \geq t(x)$ . In the first case 1 is semicontinuous at x by virtue of [14], lemme V.1.4., p.136. In either case 1 is lsc simply by definition. In a similar manner we can establish the upper semicontinuity of  $k = \inf G$ . Therefore, by Ex 1.2., p.362 of [10], G is lsc as a convex-valued multifunction . By [10], th. 3.1", p. 308 on can select a continuous selector f for G. Observe that  $f(x) \in G(x) \subset F(x)$ . This completes the proof of theorem 1 . Corollary 1. Suppose  $f \leq g$  on a perfectly normal space X. Then there exists a continuous function h such that  $f \le g$ if and only if for all  $x \in X$  : (i)  $f^*(x) \leq g_*(x)$ (ii)  $[f(x), g(x)] \cap [f^*(x), g_*(x)] \neq \emptyset$ Corollary 2. Suppose f 2 g on a perfectly normal space X . Then there exists a continuous function h such that f < h < gif and only if for all x G X (i)  $f(x) \leq g_{x}(x)$ (ii)  $(f(x), g(x)) \cap [f^*(x), g(x)] \neq \emptyset$ Since it is easy to verify that a lsc F satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem 1 we also have Michael's result as a corollary. For further informations about insertion of a continuous function see [1], [5-7], [11], [13]. It is unknown whether or not can one generalize the range of F to some nice family of sets (e.g. the open disks in R<sup>2</sup>) and obtain some reasonable characterization for the admission of a continuous selector . There are already some theorems in which the condition to impose upon a multifunction for the admission of a nice selector is that the multifunction restricted to each of a family of small sets has a nice selector. A result of this kind is the following : THEOREM 2 (Lindenstrauss [9], cf. also [8]) Let M be a metric space and let B be a Banach space. Let  $F : M \rightarrow B$  be a multifunction such that F(m) is closed, convex and separable subset of B for every  $m \in M$  . Assume that for every countable compact subset K of M the restriction F K of F to K admits a continuous selector on K. Then F admits a continuous selector . Another result of this kind is the following THEOREM 3 (Coder [2], cf. [8], [4]) Let F: R  $\rightarrow$  R be a multifunction such that F(x) is closed

and convex for every x CR . Then F has a Baire i selector if and only if F | P has a Baire 1 selector for each perfect, nowhere dense subset P of R. Note that paper [2] errorously claims, that in theorem 3, for insure the existence of Baire 1 selector it suffices to assume that F P has a Baire 1 selector for each perfect, nowhere dense subset of measure zero only. Paper [4] posses the problem of generalizing the domain in this theorem. In order to solving this problem we need the following generalization of famous Baire theorem : THEOREM 4 . A function f :  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  from the N-dimensional euclidean space into the real line is Baire 1 if and only if the restriction f | P has a point of continuity for each perfect nonwheredense subset P of  $R^N$ . Proof: Assume that f | H is totally discontinuous for some perfect subset  $H \le R^N$ . Thus  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} H_n$ , where  $H_n := \{x: osc f \ge n^{-1}\}$ . It is easily verified that each  $H_n$  is closed. By the Baire Category Theorem there exists k such that H, contains an open ball, say J , relative to H. We will contruct a countable subset D of J such that cl D is perfect and nowhere dense in J and such that for all  $x \in cl D$  oso  $(f | cl D) \ge k^{-1}$ . Pick  $d \in J$  and sequences  $d_m^1$ and  $d_n^2$ , n=1,2,... in J -{d} approaching d such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(d_n^1) = \lim_{x \to d} \sup_{x \to d} f(x)$ and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(d_n^2) = \lim_{x \to d} \inf_{x \to d} f(z) \text{ and } d_n^1 \neq d_m^2$ for all n and  $m \neq n$ . Let D, consist of d and the terms of those sequences. For  $z \in D_1 - \{d\}$  define  $r(z) = 3^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(z, D_1 - \{z\})$ Pick sequences  $z_n^1$ ,  $z_n^2$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  in  $K(z, r(z)) := \{z \in J : || z, -z || \leq r(z)\}, approaching z such$ that  $z_n^1 \neq z_m^2$  for all  $n \neq m$  lim  $f(z^1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \in V} f(v)$  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(z_n^2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{v \to z} f(v). \text{ Define }:$  $\mathbf{D}_{2} := \mathbf{D}_{1} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{d} \bigcup_{n=1}^{d^{n}} \left\{ \mathbf{z}_{n}^{k} : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{D}_{1} - \{\mathbf{d}\} \right\}.$ Now, continuing by induction in the obvious way we obtain a sequence of sets  $D_n$ . Putting  $D := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$  we have ol D is

38

perfect and nowhere dense in J. In fact, each point of cl D is an accumulation point and in each relatively open subset  $J_1 \subset J$  there is an relatively open ball  $J_2 \subset J_1$  such that  $J_2 \cap cl D = \emptyset$  . Moreover, it is easy to verify that for each  $x \in c1$  D, osc (f | c1 D) > k<sup>-1</sup>. The rest of the proof is obvious, by usual Baire theorem. It would be interesting to know wheter this theorem remains true if P is assumed to be e.g. sigma-porous perfect set or at least nowhere dense null (i.e.  $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{P}) = 0$ ) perfect set. THEOREM 5. Let  $F : R^N \rightarrow R$  be a multifunction with closed. convex values. Then F admits a Baire 1 selector if and only if for each perfect nowhere dense subset P of  $R^N$ , the restriction FP admits a Baire 1 selector. Proof: First note, that the implication "only if" is obvious when F has a Baire 1 selector. So let us assume that F | P has a Baire 1 selector for each perfect, nowhere dense subset P of  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ . Put  $t(\mathbf{x}) = \sup F(\mathbf{x})$  and  $b(\mathbf{x}) = \inf F(\mathbf{x})$ . Define  $t_{x}(x) := \lim \inf t(z)$  and  $b^{*}(x) := \lim \sup b(z)$ . 2 -> X STEP 1: Construction of  $P_0$  and  $f_0$ : Observe that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : b^*(x) < t_x(x)\} = (b^* - t_x)^{-1} ((-\infty; 0))$  is open in R<sup>N</sup>. It follows that there exists a nonempty open subset G with R<sup>N</sup> - G perfect such that either (i) clcG  $\{x : b^{\sharp}(x) \ge t_{\chi}(x)\}$  or (11)  $cl \leq G \{x : b''(x) > t_{p}(x)\}$ . Put  $P_{o} := R^{N} - G$ . In case (i) let  $D = \{x \in G : F(x) \cap [b^*(x) : t_x(x)] = \emptyset\}$ . Then D is finite. Indeed, if D were infinite, there would exist a sequence  $x_i$ , i=1,2,... in G, and a point x cl G and a,  $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  for which  $b(x_i) \rightarrow a$ ,  $t(x_i) \rightarrow b$ ,  $x_i \rightarrow x$  and  $F(x_i) \cap [b^*(x_i), t(x_i)]$  is empty. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $t_{\mu}(x_{i}) < b(x_{i})$ . Then we must have  $a \leq b^{\star}(x) \leq t_{\star}(x) \leq b$ . On the other hand  $t_{x}(x) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf t_{x}(x_{i}) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \sup t(x_{i}) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} b(x_{i}) = a$ This leads to a contradiction. Our multifunction F has the continuity property (i.e. fulfills (i) and (ii) of th. 1) at each point of G - D. Therefore,

by theorem 1 there is a continuous selector h for  $F \mid G - D$ .

Now define  $f_{o}(x) := \begin{cases} h(x) \text{ if } x \in G - D \\ \text{midpoint } F(x) \text{ if } x \in D \\ \text{Clearly } f_{o} \text{ is a Baire 1 selector for } F|(R^{N} - P_{o}). \end{cases}$ In case (ii) let E := {  $x \in cl G : t_{x}(x) = b^{*}(x)$  and  $b(x) \notin F(x)$  }. It is easy to verify that this set E is countable. In fact, let  $x \in E$  so that  $b(x) > \lim \sup b(t)$ . There is a basic  $t \rightarrow x$ open set  $V(x) \subset cl G$  containing x and a basic open set  $U(x) \subset \mathbb{R}$  containing b(x) such that  $b(t) \notin U(x)$  for  $t \in V(x) - \{x\}$ . Observe that  $(U(x_1), V(x_1)) \neq (U(x_2), V(x_2))$  whenever  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since the set of all pairs of basic open sets (in separable cl G and R) is countable, hence the set E is countable as well. Let  $H = \{x: b'(x) \neq t_{a}(x)\} = \{x: t_{a}(x) < b^{*}(x)\}$ . Then H is a first category  $F_{S'}$  subset of G. In fact, let  $H_n = \{x : b^{\times}(x) - t_x(x) \ge n^{-1}\}.$ Since  $u = b^{r} - t_{s}$  is upper semicontinuous function, it is easily seen that each  $H_n = u^{-1}([n^{-1};\infty))$  is closed and  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{U} H_n$ . If some  $H_n$  is dense somewhere, say in  $U \subset H_k =$ 

= cl H<sub>k</sub>, then osc  $f(x) \ge k^{-1}$  on U for each selector f of our multifunction F. In fact, we have osc  $f = f' - f_{>}b' - t_{-}$ for  $b(x) \leq f(x) \leq t(x)$ . Thus any selector f cannot be of the first Baire class on U. By virtue of th. 4 there is a nowhere dense perfect subset  $D \subset U$  such that  $f \mid D$  is totally discontinuous on D. But this is in marked contrast with assumption, that F D must have a Baire 1 selector. Hence H is an  $F_{\sigma}$ of the first category relative to G let  $A_1 = H_1$ ,  $A_n := H_n - H_{n-1}$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , Each  $A_n$  is ambiguous and we have  $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$  when  $n \neq m$ . Moreover  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n =$ 

 $= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ .

By the condition we may choose a Baire 1 selector f, for F|H, Now define for x & G :

$$f_{0}(x) := \begin{cases} f_{n}(x) \text{ if } x \in A_{n} \\ \overset{*}{b}(x) \text{ if } x \quad G = E = H \\ 2^{-1}, [t(x) + b(x)] \text{ if } x \in E \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $G - E - H \subset C(f_0)$ , the set of continuity points of f . In fact for  $x \in G - E - H$ ,  $f_0(x) = b(x) = t(x)$ , so that  $f_{n}$  :  $R^{N} - P_{n} \rightarrow R$  is simultaneously lsc and usc at x. Observe that EAC (f) = Ø for  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup b(x_n) \leq b^*(x) = t_{x_n}(x) \leq b^*(x) = t_{x_n}(x) \leq b^*(x)$  $\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf t(\mathbf{x}_n)$  whenever  $\mathbf{x}_n$  tends to  $\mathbf{x}$  in E. Also if x EH, then there exist cluster values 1 and m of f such that  $m \leq t_{a}(x) < b^{*}(x) \leq 1$ . Hence  $H \cap C(f) = \emptyset$ . Therefore C(f) = G - E - H and  $(f_{o} \mid G - E - H)^{-1}(U) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} : x \in G - H \text{ and } f_{o}(x) \in U\} \text{ is open in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \text{ (and hence in } \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{o}\text{) for each open set } U < \mathbb{R} \text{ .}$ We have  $f_{o}^{-1}(U) = (G - E - H) \cap (b^{*})^{-1} (U)^{U} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [f_{n}^{-1}(U) \cap A_{n}]^{U}$  $u\{x: 2^{-1}, [t(x) + b(x)] \in U\} \in F_{r}(\mathbb{R}^{N} - P_{r}).$ and thus f is a Baire 1 selector for  $F(G = F(R^N - P_0))$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  the first uncountable ordinal number and let  $\beta < \mathcal{R}$ Using transfinite induction, suppose we have constructed for each  $\beta < \Omega$  sets  $P_{\infty}$  and functions  $f_{\infty}$  such that (u1)  $P_{oC}$  is a perfect set (u2)  $f_{\infty}$  has domain  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} - \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$ (u3)  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{J}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\infty}$  whenever  $\alpha < \delta$ (u4)  $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha} < \mathbf{f}_{\xi}$  whenever  $\alpha < \delta$ (u5) for is a Baire 1 selector for  $F|R^N - P_{\infty}$ (u6)  $P_{\sigma} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\sigma < \mathcal{J}$  imply  $P_{\mathcal{J}} \neq P_{\sigma}$ , STEP 2 : Construction of  $f_{\beta}$  and  $P_{\beta}$  in general : In case when  $\beta = \xi + 1$  for  $\xi < \Omega$  we construct a function h and a perfect set  $P_{\beta}$  in exactly the same way we construct f and P were  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}$  plays the role of the domain  $R^{N}$  in that construction. Note that when  $P_{F} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $P_{F+1}$  is a proper subset of  $P_{\xi}$  in that construction. Define  $f_{\xi+1}$  as a function  $f_{\xi} \cup h$ . Since f is assumed to be a Baire 1 function on the open set  $R^N = P_{\xi}$  and h is a Baire 1 function on the F set  $P_5 - P_{F+1}$ , it follows that f is a Baire 1 function on  $\mathbb{R}^{N} = \mathbb{P}_{E+1}$ . The remaining conditions of the inductive hypothesis are clear. In case when  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, observe, that the set  $\bigcap$  P is closed and therefore, by famous Cantor-Bendixon theo- $\alpha < \beta$ 

41

rem, is the union of a perfect set P and a countable set C with  $P \cap C = \emptyset$ . Put  $P_{\beta} = P = P^{\textcircled{o}}$  and define  $f_{\beta}$  on  $R^{N} - P_{\beta}$ as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{midpoint } F(\mathbf{x}) \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \in C \\ \mathbf{f}_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \text{midpoint } F(\mathbf{x}) \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{x}} \text{ for some } \infty \end{cases} \\ \text{Then } \mathbf{f}_{\beta} = (\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}) \cup (\mathbf{f}_{\beta} \mid C) \text{ and the domain of } \mathbf{f}_{\beta} \text{ is the open set } \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\beta} \text{ . To show that } \mathbf{f}_{\beta} \text{ is Baire 1 we need only show that } \mathbf{f}_{\beta} \mid \mathbb{Q} \text{ has a point of continuity for each perfect set } \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\beta} \text{ . Since card } \mathbb{Q} = \zeta \text{ it must intersect some } Dom \mathbf{f}_{\infty} \text{ for } \ll \beta \text{ . Hence a portion of } \mathbb{Q} \text{ is contained in the open set } \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\beta} \text{ upon which } \mathbf{f}_{\beta} \text{ is Baire 1 } \text{.} \end{cases} \\ \text{Therefore } \mathbf{f}_{\beta} \mid \mathbb{Q} \text{ has a point of continuity in } \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\beta} \text{ .} \\ \text{The rest of the inductive hypotheses are easily verified. } \\ \text{Therefore, by transfinite induction there exists a descending chain of perfect sets } \{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \ll < \Omega\} \text{ and an ascending chain of } \\ \text{functions } \{\mathbf{f}_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \alpha < \Omega\} \text{ such that for each } \ll \\ (a) \ \mathbf{f}_{\alpha} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\alpha} \text{ and is a Baire 1 selector } \\ \text{for } \mathbf{F} \mid \mathbb{R}^{N} - \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \text{ and } \end{cases} \end{cases}$ 

(b)  $P_{\sigma} \neq P_{\alpha}$  whenever  $P_{\infty} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\delta > \infty$ . Since  $\{P_{\infty}; \alpha < \Omega\}$  is a decreasing chain of closed sets it is eventually constant, that is, there is a  $\gamma$  such that  $P_{\xi} = P_{\gamma}$ . whenever  $\gamma < \xi$ . By (b) we must have  $P_{\delta} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, by (a),  $f_{\gamma}$  is the desired Baire 1 selector for F on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . This finishes the proof of theorem 5.

As a corollary we obtain :

THEOREM 6. Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a multifunction from an euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  into the hyperspace of non-void, closed convex subsets of the real line. Then F admits a Baire 1 selector if and only if for each nowheredense perfect subset P of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the restriction F|P has the continuity property at some point of P.

Both theorems 5 and 6 apply only to those F for which each F(x) is simultaneously closed and convex : THEOREM 7 (cf. [12]) There exists a multifunction  $F \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with non-void, convex values, admitting on Baire 1 selectors but with the property, that the restriction of this multifunction to an arbitrary perfect subset  $P = R^N$  has the continuity property at some point of P.

Proof: Let Z be a totally imperfect Berstein set (see [D], th. 1) in R<sup>N</sup> which intersects P and R<sup>N</sup> - P for each perfect subset  $P < R^N$ . Put :

Z

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} (0, 1] & \text{if } x \in Z \\ (-1, 0] & \text{if } x \in R^{N} \end{cases}$$

and observe that  $t := \sup F = I_Z$ ,  $b := \inf F = -I_R N_Z$ . Thus we have  $t_F = b^* = 0$  identically on  $R^N$ . Fix some perfect set  $P < R^N$  and note that the intersection  $(R^N - Z) \cap P$ is nonempty. Let x be some element of this intersection. Since 0 belongs to  $F(x_{0})$ , it follows that  $F(x_{0}) h^{b}(x_{0})$ ,  $t_{x}(x_{0}) = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$  and thus F has the continuity property at selected point  $x \in P - Z$ . Observe that if f is any selector for F, then the inverse image  $f^{-1}((0,2)) = Z$  is not Borel set despite (0,2) is open . This completes the proof, Our theorems 4 nor 5 does not carry over to the case of higher Baire classes. In fact, we have : THEOREM 8. Assume continuum hypothesis. There is a function  $f : R^{N} \rightarrow R$  such that for each perfect, nowheredense subset D of R<sup>N</sup> the restriction f | D is of the second Baire class, while f as not even Borel-measurable. Proof: This follows for instance from [D], th.4. After this paper has been completed, the author learned about the paper by Vetro Pasquale [V] where the theorem very similar to our theorem 1 is also proved. To author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. J.S. Lipinski for his critical remarks. REFERENCES

- [1] Blair R.L., Extensions of Lebesgue sets and of real-valued functions, Czechoslovak Math. J. 31 (1981) 63-74
- [2] Ceder J., On Baire 1 selections, Ricerche di Matematica, vo. XXX, fasc. 2 (1981) 305-315
- [3] Ceder J., Characterizations of Darboux selections, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, tomo XXX (1981) 461-470

- [4] Ceder J., Some problems on Baire 1 selections, Real Analysis Exchange, vol.8, no.2 (1982-83) 502-503
- [5] Lane E.P., Insertion of a continuous function, Pacific J. Math. 66 (1976) 181-190
- [6] Lane E.P., Insertion of a continuous function. Topology Proc. 4 (1979) 463-478
- [7] Lane E.P. Lebesgue sets and insertion of a continuous function, Proceedings AMS, vol.87, no 3, (1983) 539-542
- [8] Levi S., A survey of Borel selection theory, Real Analysis Exchange, vol. 9.2 (1983-84) p.436-462
- [9] Lindestrauss J., A selection theorem, Is all J. of Math., vol. 2 (1964) 201-204
- [10] Michael E. Continuous selections I. Annals of Math., vol.63 no. 2 (1956) 361-382
- [11] Powderly H., On insertion of a continuous function. Proc.AMS 81 (1981) 119-120
- [12] Ślęzak W.A., Ceders conjecture on Baire 1 selections is not true. Real Analysis Exch. vol.9.2., (1983-84) 502-507
- [13] Tond H., Some characterizations of normal and perfectly normal spaces, Duke Math. J. 19 (1952) 289-292
- [14] Vulikh B.Z., Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces, Noordhoft 1967
- [V] Vetro Pasquale, An observation on continuous selections in Italian Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II, vol.32 (1983) no 1, 139-144<sup>-</sup>
- [J] Jahkovic Dragan S., Concerning semicontinuous functions, Math. Chronicle 12 (1983) 109-111
- [D] Dogoński A., et all., O idealach borelowskich, Problemy Matematyczne 7
- O CEDERA WŁASNOŚCI CIĄGŁOŚCI

## Streszczenie

H. Ceder w [2] podał charakteryzację tych multifunkcji
F : R → R o wypukłych wartościach, które posiadają ciągły
selektor i wykorzystał ten wynik do dowodu istnienia selektora

pierwszej klasy Bairea dla multifunkcji F : R -> R o domkniętych wypukłych wartościach, o których wiadomo, że po obcięciu do każdego nigdziegęstego zbioru doskonałego posiadają taki selektor. W niniejszym artykule uogólnia się te wyniki na przypadek, gdy dziedziną jest dowolna skończeniewymiarowa przestrzeń suklidesowa, rozwiązując w ten sposób pewien problem Cedera. Ostatnie twierdzenie, mówiące o tym, że w przypadku wyższych klas Bairea sytuacja jest całkowicie odmienna podano bez dowodu, gdyż wynika ono z pracy zamieszczonej w tymże zeszycie, opracowanej przez Koło Naukowe studentów. Dla kompletności przytoczono informację o istnieniu ciągłych selektorów dla multifunkcji o których wiadomo że posiadają ciągły selektor po obcięciu do każdego przeliczalnego podzbioru zwartego przestrzeni metrycznej.