

TOMASZ NATKANIEC
 WSP w Bydgoszczy

ON POINTS OF THE APPROXIMATE SEMICONTINUITY

We use the following notation. The sign \mathcal{J} denotes the \mathcal{G} -ideal of the measure zero subsets of R . If $X \subseteq R$ is measurable then $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ denotes the set of all density points of X .

For the function $f: R \rightarrow R$ the signs $\text{ap-lim inf } f(t)$ and $\text{ap-lim sup } f(t)$ denote the approximately lower and upper limit of f at x , respectively. Notice that

$$\text{ap-lim inf } f(t) = \sup \{ y : D_{\mathcal{G}}^f(x, \{t: f(t) < y\}) = 0 \} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{ap-lim sup } f(t) = \inf \{ y : D_{\mathcal{G}}^f(x, \{t: f(t) > y\}) = 0 \}, \text{ where}$$

$$D_{\mathcal{G}}^f(x, A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{m^*(A \cap I)}{m(I)} : m(I) < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

The signs $A(f)$, $S_{\mathcal{G}}(f)$, $S_{\mathcal{G}}^1(f)$ denote the sets of all points at which f is approximate continuous, upper and lower semicontinuous, respectively:

$$A(f) = \left\{ x \in R : f(x) = \text{ap-lim inf } f(t) = \text{ap-lim sup } f(t) \right\},$$

$$S_{\mathcal{G}}(f) = \left\{ x \in R : f(x) \geq \text{ap-lim sup } f(t) \right\},$$

$$T_{\mathcal{G}}(f) = \left\{ x \in R : f(x) > \text{ap-lim sup } f(t) \right\},$$

$$S_{\mathcal{G}}^1(f) = \left\{ x \in R : f(x) \leq \text{ap-lim inf } f(t) \right\},$$

$$T_{\mathcal{G}}^1(f) = \left\{ x \in R : f(x) < \text{ap-lim inf } f(t) \right\}.$$

Z. Grande showed in [1] the following facts.

FACT 0. For every function $f: R \rightarrow R$ the set $A(f)$ is measurable.

FACT 1. For every $f: R \rightarrow R$ we have $T_{\mathcal{G}}(f) \cup T_{\mathcal{G}}^1(f) \in \mathcal{J}$.

FACT 2. The sets $S_{\mathcal{G}}(f) - A(f)$ and $S_{\mathcal{G}}^1(f) - A(f)$ do not contain measurable sets of the positive measure.

FACT 3. Let A, B, C are subsets of R such that

- $C \in \mathcal{J}$,
- $B \subseteq A$ and $C \subseteq A-B$,
- there exists a G_δ set D such that $B = D-C$,
- the set $A-B$ do not contain a measurable sets of positive measure,
- $R-D$ is the sum $M \cup N$, M and N are a F_σ sets, $N \in \mathcal{J}$ and $M \subseteq \varphi(M)$.

Then there exists a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $A(f) = B$, $S_a(f) = A$, and $T_a(f) = C$.

My results are following (see [2]).

FACT 4. (MA) Let A, C, A' and C' are subsets of R such that

- (i) $C \cup C' \in \mathcal{J}$,
- (ii) $B = A \cap A'$,
- (iii) $C \subseteq A-B$ and $C' \subseteq A'-B$,
- (iv) there exists a G_δ set D such that $B = D - (C \cup C')$,
- (v) the sets $A-B$ and $A'-B$ do not contain a measurable sets of the positive measure,
- (vi) there exists a sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open sets such that $G_{n+1} \subseteq G_n$, $D = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(G_n) - B$ is a F_σ set.

Then

- (x) there exists a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $A(f) = B$, $S_a(f) = A$, $T_a(f) = C$, $S_a^1(f) = A'$ and $T_a^1(f) = C'$.

FACT 5. (MA) Let A, B, C, A' and C' are subsets of R and the conditions (i)-(v) and

- (vii) $R-D$ is the sum $M \cup N$, M and N are a F_σ sets, $N \in \mathcal{J}$ and $M \subseteq \varphi(M)$

hold. Then the statement (x) holds too.

REMARK 0. None of the implications (vi) \Rightarrow (vii) and (vii) \Rightarrow (vi) holds.

We consider the following examples.

EXAMPLE 0. Let $C \subseteq \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be the Cantor's set such that $C \in \mathcal{J}$ and P be the set of all bilateral limit points of

C. Since the set $C-P$ is dense in C and P is of the second category in C , P is not F_σ set.

Let us assume that $B = R-P$. Then the condition (vi) does not hold. If D is a G_δ set and $B \subseteq D$ then $R-D \subseteq P$ and $R-D \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus for $M = \emptyset$ and $N = R-D$ we have $R-D = M \cup N$. So the condition (vii) holds.

EXAMPLE 1. Let C be the Cantor's set such that $C \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $C \subseteq \langle 2, 3 \rangle$, C' be the set of all bilateral limit points of C and $C'' = C - C'$. It is clear that $\varphi(C) \subseteq C'$.

If $M \subseteq C'$ is a F_σ set then $N = C - M$ is a G_δ set and N is dense in C . Hence N is residual in C .

Suppose that N is a F_σ set. Then $N = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ and are closed and pairwise disjoint sets [3]. Since N is of the second category in C , there exists F_n which is of the second category in C . Since F_n is closed, there exists an open interval I such that $\emptyset \neq C \cap I \subseteq F_n$. Hence $F_n \notin \mathcal{J}$. Assume that $B = D = R - C$. Then the condition (vii) does not hold. Let $G_n = D$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Notice that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(G_n) - B = \emptyset$. Hence the condition (vi) holds.

REMARK 1. There exists a set B and there exists a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $A(f) = B$ and the conditions (vi) and (vii) do not hold.

We consider the following example.

EXAMPLE 2. Let P be the set defined in Example 0, C be the Cantor's set from Example 1 and $B = R - (C \cup P)$.

Let $g: R \rightarrow R$ be a function such that $A(g) = R - P$ and $h: R \rightarrow R$ be a function such that $A(h) = R - C$.

Let us define a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ as follows $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$. It is easy to show that $A(f) = B$ and the conditions (vi) and (vii) do not hold.

PROBLEMS

(1) Let us assume that for $A, A', B, C, C' \subseteq R$ the conditions (i)-(v) hold. Does then the statement (x) hold ?

(2) Is there for every function $f: R \rightarrow R$ a G_δ set D such that $A(f) = D - (T_a(f) \cup T_a^1(f))$?

REFERENCES

- [1] Grande Z., Quelques remarques sur la semicontinuité supérieure, Fund. Math. CXXV/1/1985/
- [2] Nathaniec T., Zbiory punktów ciągłości i półciągłości funkcji rzeczywistych, doctor's thesis
- [3] Sierpiński W., Sur une propriété des ensembles F_σ linéaires, Fund. Math. 14 (1929)