
ZESZYTY NAUKOWE WYŻSZEJ SZKOŁY PEDAGOGICZNEJ
W BYDGOSZCZY

Problemy Matematyczne 1982 z.4

Andrzej Nowicki

UMK Toruń

Ryszard Żuchowski

WSP Bydgoszcz

SOME REMARKS ON SYSTEMS OF IDEALS

If R is a commutative ring with identity and M is any set of ideals of R , then a pair (R, M) will be called a system of ideals, if the following conditions are satisfied

- A1. R is an element of M ,
- A2. An intersection of any set of elements of M is an element of M ,
- A3. A union of any non-empty set, totally ordered by inclusion, of elements of M is an element of M ,
- A4. The null ideal belongs to M ,
- A5. If A, B belong to M , then $A+B$ belongs to M ,
- A6. If A, B belong to M , then AB belongs to M ,
- A7. If A, B belong to M , then $A:B$ belongs to M ,
where $A:B = \left\{ r \in R; \bigwedge_{b \in B} r \cdot b \in A \right\}$
- A8. If A belongs to M , and x is any element of R , then

$$A_x = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A:x^n) \text{ belongs to } M.$$

Let (R, M) be a system of ideals. Elements of M are called M -ideals. If E is a subset of R , then we denote by $[E]$ the smallest M -ideal containing E . If A is an ideal of R , then we denote by $A_{\#}$ the greatest M -ideal contained in A .

If (R, M) and (S, N) are systems of ideals, then a ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ will be called a morphism of systems if:

1. The Inverse Image of any N -ideal is an M -ideal,

2. An ideal generated in S by the image of any M -ideal is an N -ideal.

In the papers [7], [9], there are elements of the theory of systems of ideals and among others important examples of systems as f.e. systems of differential ideals ([4], [5]), systems of differential ideals with respect to a higher derivation ([2], [3]) and systems of homogenous ideals in a ring with a grading ([1], [8]).

In this paper we give some remarks on systems of ideals and we describe some new examples of those.

PROPOSITION 1. If M is a set of ideals of R satisfying A_3 , then the condition A_8 is equivalent with the following condition:

(1) If $A \in M$, then $A_T \in M$, for every multiplicatively set T in R , where $A_T = \{ r \in R; rt \in A, \text{ for some } t \in T \}$.

PROOF. The property (1) implies A_8 , because $T = \{ x^n; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \}$ is a multiplicatively set in R and $A_x = A_T$. Now, we prove the inverse implication. Let $A \in M$ and let T be a multiplicatively set in R . Consider a family $\Sigma = \{ S; S \text{ is a multiplicatively set in } R, \text{ such that } S \subset T \text{ and } A_S \in M \}$. The family Σ is non-empty, because for $t \in T$, $\{ t^n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \}$ is a multiplicatively set contained in T , and by A_8 , we have $A_{\{t^n\}} = A_t \in M$. Notice, that Σ satisfies the assumption of Lemma Kuratowski-Zorn. Let $\{ S_i; i \in I \}$ be a chain in Σ . Then $\{ A_{S_i}; i \in I \}$ is a chain in M and $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ is a multiplicatively set in R , contained in T . Since $A_{\bar{S}} = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_{S_i}$, then, by A_3 , we have $A_{\bar{S}} \in M$. Thus $\bar{S} \in \Sigma$.

Let $S \subset T$ be a maximal element in Σ . Thus $A_S \in M$. Suppose that $S \subsetneq T$, and let $t \in T \setminus S$. Then $U = S\{t^n\}$ is a multiplicatively set contained in T and properly containing S . By A_3 , we have $A_U = A_{S\{t^n\}} = (A_S)_t \in M$. Therefore $S \subsetneq U$ and $U \in \Sigma$, in spite of S is a maximal element in Σ . So, we get $S = T$, and $A_T \in M$.

PROPOSITION 2. If (R, M) is a system of ideals, then an algebraic sum of any set of elements of M , is an element of M .

PROOF. If A is an ideal of R , then the condition $A \in M$ is equivalent with the implication: $x \in A \rightarrow [x] \subset A$ (see [6]). Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of M -ideals. If $x \in \sum A_i$ then $x = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ belongs to $A_{i_1} + \dots + A_{i_n}$, so $[x] \subset A_{i_1} + \dots + A_{i_n} \subset \sum A_i$.

Assume now, that (R, M) is a system of ideals, S is a multiplicatively set in R and $S^{-1}R$ is a quotient ring of R with respect to S . Let $N = \{S^{-1}A; A \in M\}$. It is easy to prove, that N is the only set of ideals in $S^{-1}R$ such that $(S^{-1}R, N)$ is a system of ideals and the natural homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R, r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$ is a morphism of systems. The set N we shall denote by $S^{-1}M$.

PROPOSITION 3. If S is a multiplicatively set in R and $A \in I(R)$, then

- a) $(S^{-1}A)_{\#} = S^{-1}((A_S)_{\#})$
- b) $[S^{-1}A] = S^{-1}[A]$

PROOF. First, we prove, that if $A = A_S$, then $(S^{-1}A)_{\#} = S^{-1}A_{\#}$

It is clear, that $(S^{-1}A)_{\#} = S^{-1}B$, where $B \in M$ and $B = B_S$. Hence $A_{\#} = (A_S)_{\#} = (f^{-1}(S^{-1}A))_{\#} = f^{-1}((S^{-1}A)_{\#}) = f^{-1}(S^{-1}B) = B_S = B$,

where $f: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ is the natural homomorphism.

Then we have $S^{-1}(A_{\#}) = S^{-1}B = (S^{-1}A)_{\#}$, so finally

$$(S^{-1}A)_{\#} = (S^{-1}(A_S))_{\#} = S^{-1}((A_S)_{\#}).$$

This ends the proof of a). The proof of b) is standard.

A system (R, M) is called special, if the radical of an arbitrary M -ideal is an M -ideal ([7]).

PROPOSITION 4. If S is a multiplicatively set in R , and (R, M) is a special system, then $(S^{-1}R, S^{-1}M)$ is special too.

PROOF. Let Q be any prime ideal in $S^{-1}R$. Then $Q = S^{-1}P$, where P is a prime ideal in R , disjoint from S . By proposition 3 a), we have $Q_{\#} = (S^{-1}P)_{\#} = S^{-1}(P_{\#})$. But (R, M) is special, so $P_{\#}$ is a prime ideal of R (Th.1.2 [7]). Since $P_{\#} \subset P$ and $P \cap S = \emptyset$ then $P_{\#} \cap S = \emptyset$. Finally, $Q_{\#} = S^{-1}P_{\#}$ is a prime ideal in $S^{-1}R$ and by [7] we have thesis.

If P is a prime ideal in R , then we denote by (R_P, M_P) a system $(S^{-1}R, S^{-1}M)$, where $S = R \setminus P$.

PROPOSITION 5. Let (R, M) be a system of ideals. The following conditions are equivalent

- (1) (R, M) is special
- (2) (R_P, M_P) is special, for every prime ideal P in R .
- (3) (R_{M_1}, M_{M_1}) is special, for every maximal ideal M_1 in R .

PROOF. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows by Proposition 4. It is clear, that (2) \Rightarrow (3). We prove (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Consider any prime ideal P in R . We show, that $P_{\#}$ is a prime ideal. Let M_1 be a maximal ideal, such that $P \subset M_1$. Let $S = R \setminus M_1$. Then $S \cap P = \emptyset$, and by Proposition 3 we have $(S^{-1}P)_{\#} = S^{-1}(P_{\#})$.

Because $(S^{-1}R, S^{-1}M)$ is special, so $(S^{-1}P)_{\#}$ is a prime ideal in $S^{-1}R$, hence $P_{\#}$ is a prime ideal in R .

Now we give new examples of systems of ideals. First, we describe all systems of ideals in the ring Z of integers.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $P = \{ p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, \dots \}$ be a set of prime integers (finite or infinite), and let $D = \{ p_1^i, p_2^i, \dots, p_n^i, \dots \}$ be a set of fixed powers of elements of P . Then (Z, M_D)

$$\text{where } M_D = \left\{ (n); n = (p_1^i)^{s_1} \dots (p_k^i)^{s_k}, s_i \geq 0 \right\} \cup \{0\}$$

is a system of ideals. Conversely, every system (Z, M) has the above form.

PROOF. It is easy to prove, that (Z, M_D) is a system of ideals. We show, that any system of ideals (Z, M) has the form (Z, M_D) . Let $P = \{ p; p - \text{a prime integer, such that } p | n \text{ for some } (n) \in M, n \neq 0 \}$

If $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, \dots\}$, then we define $i_j = \min \{i; \text{there exists } (n) \in M; n = p_j^i c, i > 0, p_j \nmid c\}$, where $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Set $D = \{p_1^{i_1}, p_2^{i_2}, \dots, p_k^{i_k}, \dots\}$. We prove that $M = M_D$. Notice, that if $(n) \in M$, where $n = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_s^{k_s}$, then by the uniqueness of primary decomposition and by Th. 3.4 [8], we obtain $(n) = (p_1^{k_1}) \cap \dots \cap (p_s^{k_s})$, where $(p_1^{k_1}) \in M, \dots, (p_s^{k_s}) \in M$. Hence, also $(p_1^{i_1}) \in M, \dots, (p_j^{i_j}) \in M, \dots$.

Therefore $M_D \subset M$. If $(m) \in M$, where $m = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_t^{k_t}$ then $(p_j^{k_j}) \in M$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$. It is obvious that $k_j \geq i_j$.

Let $k_j = u_j i_j + r_j$, where $0 \leq r_j \leq i_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, t$. If $r_j \neq 0$, then $(p_j^{r_j}) = (p_j^{k_j}) : (p_j^{u_j i_j}) \in M$, which contradicts with minimality of i_j . Hence, we have $(p_j^{k_j}) = (p_j^{i_j})^{u_j} \in M_D$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Finally $(m) = (p_1^{k_1}) \cap \dots \cap (p_t^{k_t}) \in M_D$ and $M = M_D$.

We shall describe now, all special systems in Z .

EXAMPLE 2. Let P be a set of prime integers. Then (Z, M_P) , where $M_P = \{(n); n = p_1^{i_1} \dots p_k^{i_k}; p_1, p_2, \dots \in P, i_1 \geq 0, \dots, i_k \geq 0\} \cup \{(0)\}$ is a special system. Conversely, if (Z, M) is a special system, then there exists a set P of prime integers such that $M = M_P$.

PROOF. Is similar to the proof of Example 1.

We can do the analogous description for principal ideals domains.

If (R, M) is a system of ideals in R , then we denote by $M[x]$ a set of ideals in $R[x]$ of the form $A[x] = \{a_0 x^n + \dots + a_n; a_1 \in A\}$, where $A \in M$. We shall, prove, that $(R[x], M[x])$ is a system of ideals. First, we prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0, g = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$ belong to $R[x]$. If $g \cdot f = 0$, then $g \cdot a_n^{m+1} = 0$.

PROOF. Since $g \cdot f = 0$, we have

(1) $b_m \cdot a_n = 0$

(2) $b_{m-1} a_n + b_m a_{n-1} = 0$

.....

(m) $b_1 a_n + b_2 a_{n-1} + \dots + b_{n+1} a_0 = 0$

(m+1) $b_0 a_n + b_1 a_{n-1} + \dots + b_n a_0 = 0$

Multiply the equation (2) by a_n , (3) by a_n^2 ,, (m+1) by a_n^m , we have: $b_{m-1} a_n^2 = 0$,

$b_{m-2} a_n^3 = 0$,

.....

$b_1 a_n^m = 0$,

$b_0 a_n^{m+1} = 0$

Hence $g \cdot a_n^{m+1} = 0$.

LEMMA 2. Let $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$, $g = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$ belong to $R[x]$. If $g \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (0 : x^k)$, then for each pair i, j , where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there exists $s(i, j) \in N$ such that $b_i \cdot a_j^{s(i, j)} = 0$.

PROOF. Induction with regard of n , where $n = \deg f$. If $n = 0$, the Lemma is obvious. Suppose now, that Lemma is true for polynomials f of degrees $< n$, and for every polynomial g . Let $\deg f = n$, and $g \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (0 : x^k)$.

Suppose, that $g \cdot f^p = 0$. Let $h = f^p$. A coefficient at the maximal power of x in h is equal: $a_n^p = b$. Since $g \cdot h = 0$, by the Lemma 1, we have $g \cdot b^{m+1} = 0$. Then $g \cdot a_n^r = 0$, where $r = p(m + 1)$.

Let $f_1 = f - a_n x^n$, then $g \cdot f_1^{p+r} = 0$. Finally $g \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (0 : x_1^k)$,

where $\deg f_1 \leq n-1$, the thesis follows by the induction assumption.

Corollary. Let A be an ideal in R and let $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$, $g = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$ be polynomials in $R[x]$. If $g \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (A[x] : f^k)$, then for every pair i, j , where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exists $s(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_1 \cdot a_j^{s(i, j)} \in A$.

PROOF. It suffices to apply Lemma 2 and the isomorphism $(R/A)[x] = R[x]/A[x]$

THEOREM. Let $f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in R[x]$ and let A be an ideal of R . Then $A[x] : f = (A_{a_0} \cap A_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{a_n}) [x]$

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} (A[x] : f^k) = \bigcap_{i=0}^n \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (A : a_1^k) \right) [x] \dots$$

PROOF. The inclusion \subset follows from Corollary, we prove the inverse inclusion. If $g = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$ belongs to $\bigcap_{i=0}^n \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (A : a_1^k) \right) [x]$, then $b_k a_0^{s_{0k}}, \dots, b_k a_n^{s_{nk}} \in A$. Set $s_k = \max(s_{0k}, \dots, s_{nk})$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $b_k a_1^{s_k} \in A$ and $g \cdot f^{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_m} \in A[x]$.

EXAMPLE 3. If (R, M) is a system of ideals, then $(R[x], M[x])$ is a system of ideals too.

PROOF. It is clear, that the conditions A1-A7 are satisfied. By the above Theorem, the condition A8 is also satisfied.

EXAMPLE 4. If (R, M) is a special system of ideals, then $(R[x], M[x])$ is a special system of ideals.

PROOF. It suffices to notice, that $f(A[x]) = r(A)[x]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.F.Atiyah, I.G.Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra Adison - Weseley Publishing Company, Massachusetts 1969
- [2] W.C.Brown, W.Kuan, Ideals and higher derivation in commutative rings, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 24(1972) . .
- [3] N.Jacobson, Lectures in Abstract Algebra vol. III, D. Van Nostrand Company. 1964
- [4] W.F.Keigher, Prime differential ideals in diferential rings, Contribution to Algebra, A Collection of Papers Dedicated to E.Kolchin, 1977, 239-249.
- [5] E.R.Kolchin, Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups, Academic Press, New York, London, 1973.
- [6] A.Nowicki, Prime Ideals structure in additive conservative systems (to appear) . .
- [7] A.Nowicki, R.Żuchowski, Special systems of ideals in commutative rings (to appear in. Commentationes Mathematicae).
- [8] O.Zariski, P.Samuel, Commutative Algebra vol. 2, Van Norstrand C.O, Princeton. 1960
- [9] R.Żuchowski, Systems of ideals in commutative rings (to appear in Commentationes Mathematicae).

PEWNE UWAGI O SYSTEMACH IDEAŁÓW

STRESZCZENIE

W pracach [7], [9] podane są elementy teorii systemów ideałów i między innymi ważniejsze przykłady systemów jak np. systemy ideałów różniczkowych, systemy ideałów niezmienniczych ze względu na derywację wyższą i systemy ideałów jednorodnych w pierścieniach z gradacją.

W niniejszej pracy podane są pewne uwagi dotyczące systemów i opisane są nowe przykłady systemów ideałów.