

Tadeusz Marian Jędryka

Bydgoszcz

Julian Musielak

Poznań

SOME THEOREMS ON GENERALIZED MODULAR SPACES

1. In [4] there was introduced the notion of the modular space  $X_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  by means of a family of modulars depending on a parameter. This notion was applied in [1] and [2] to investigation of modular equations and integral equations of a special type. In this paper we investigate two problems in the space  $X_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$ : this of density and separability and that of uniform continuity of a translation operator.

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space,  $\Sigma$  being a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$  and  $\mu$  - a  $\sigma$ -finite, complete, positive measure on  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the space of  $\Sigma$ -measurable, extended real-valued functions on  $\Omega$  with equality  $\mu$ -a.e. Let  $\varphi: \Omega \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$  satisfy the following conditions:

- 1°  $\varphi(t, x)$  is a pseudomodular over  $\mathcal{X}$  (for definition, see [3]) for a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ ,
- 2° if  $\varphi(t, x) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ , then  $x = 0$ ,
- 3°  $\varphi(t, x)$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable in  $\Omega$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,
- 4° if  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $|x(t)| \leq |y(t)|$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then  $\varphi(t, x) \leq \varphi(t, y)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $X$  be the set of  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  for which  $\varphi(t, \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . In the following we restrict  $\varphi$  to  $\Omega \times X$ . Then

$$\varphi_\lambda(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, x) d\mu$$

is a modular in  $X_{\varphi_\lambda}$  (see [4]). The respective modular space will be denoted

$$X_{\varphi_\lambda} = \{x: \varphi_\lambda(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0, x \in X\};$$

then

$$\|x\|_{\varphi_\lambda} = \inf \{ u > 0: \varphi\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \leq u \}$$

is an F-norm in  $X_{\varphi_\lambda}$  (see [3]). In case  $\varphi$  is convex, i.e. if  $\varphi(t, \alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha \varphi(t, x) + \beta \varphi(t, y)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , for all  $t \in \Omega$ , where  $A \in \Sigma$  is a fixed set of measure 0,

$$\|x\|_{\varphi} = \inf \{ u > 0: \varphi\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \leq 1 \}$$

is a norm in  $X_{\varphi}$ , equivalent to the former one. It is easily seen that an element  $x \in X$  belongs to  $X_{\varphi}$ , if and only if, there exists a  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi(\lambda_0 x) < \infty$  (see [1]).

1.1. The following example shows the connection between the above notions and integral transforms. We take a function  $k: \Omega \times \Omega \times \langle 0, \infty \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ , called kernel, supposing  $k(t, s, u)$  to be measurable in  $\Omega \times \Omega \times \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ , continuous and strictly increasing in  $u$  for all  $(t, s) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ ,  $k(t, s, 0) = 0$ . Then

$$\varphi(t, x) = \int_{\Omega} k(t, s, |x(s)|) d\mu(s)$$

satisfies the above conditions. If we suppose that

$\int_{\Omega} k(t, s, u) d\mu(s) > 0$  for all  $u > 0$  and a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ , then

the space  $X_{\varphi}$  is complete ([1], Th. 6). This was applied in [1] and [2], in order to solve the equation  $x(t) = \alpha \varphi(t, x)$  in  $X_{\varphi}$ .

1.2. In this paper, the following properties of  $\varphi$  will be needed.  $\varphi$  will be called local in  $X$ , if  $A \in \Sigma$

and  $\mu(A) < \infty$  imply  $\chi_A \in X$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  will be called absolutely continuous at  $x \in X$ , if there exists a set  $\Omega_1 \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(\Omega_1) = 0$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1$  and every  $B \in \Sigma$ , the inequality  $\mu(B) < \delta$  implies  $\mathcal{F}(t, x\chi_B) < \varepsilon$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  will be called approximately finite at  $x \in X$ , if there exists a set  $\Omega_1 \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(\Omega_1) = 0$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a set  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , such that for any  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1$  there holds  $\mathcal{F}(t, x\chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) < \varepsilon$ . Finally, we shall say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is regular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is local in  $X$ , absolutely continuous and approximately finite at each element of  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and if  $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$  implies  $|x(t)| < \infty$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

2. We are going now to investigate the subspace  $E_{\mathcal{F}}$  of finite elements of  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ . An element  $x \in X_{\mathcal{F}}$  will be called finite, if  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\lambda x) < \infty$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ .  $E_{\mathcal{F}}$  will denote the space of all finite elements of  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Obviously,  $E_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a linear, closed subspace of  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Moreover, if  $y \in E_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $x$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable and  $|x(t)| \leq |y(t)|$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then  $x \in E_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

2.1. Lemma. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be regular,  $y_n \in X$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $z \in E_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Moreover, let  $0 \leq y_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y_n(t) \leq z(t)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(t, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Proof. Let us take an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\Omega_1 \in \Sigma$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $A \in \Sigma$  have the same meaning as in 1.2. By Egoroff's theorem, there is a set  $B \in \Sigma$  with  $B \subset A$ ,  $\mu(B) < \delta$  for which  $y_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $A \setminus B$ . Since  $0 \leq y_n(t) \leq z(t)$  a.e., applying the properties of a pseudomodular we obtain easily  $\mathcal{F}(t, y_n) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mathcal{F}(t, 3y_n\chi_{A \setminus B})$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\eta > 0$  be arbitrary, then we may choose an index  $N$  such that  $3y_n(t)\chi_{A \setminus B}(t) \leq \eta\chi_A(t)$  for  $n > N$  and  $t \in A \setminus B$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}(t, y_n) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mathcal{F}(t, \eta\chi_A)$  for  $n > N$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , say for  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_2$  with  $\mu(\Omega_2) = 0$ . Let us fix  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta\chi_A) < \varepsilon$  for sufficiently small

$\eta > 0$ . Hence  $\varphi(t, y_n) < 3\varepsilon$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

2.2. Theorem. If  $\varphi$  is regular, then the set  $S_{\varphi}$  of simple functions in  $E_{\varphi}$  is dense in  $E_{\varphi}$ .

Proof. Let us first suppose that  $x \in E_{\varphi}$ ,  $x(t) \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Let  $(x_n)$  be a nondecreasing sequence of non-negative simple functions such that  $x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$  for  $t \in \Omega$ . Then  $x_n \in S_{\varphi}$ . Let us take any  $\lambda > 0$ . Then the sequence of functions  $y_n = \lambda(x - x_n)$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 with  $z = \lambda(x - x_1)$ . Hence  $\varphi(t, \lambda(x - x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Moreover,  $\varphi(t, \lambda(x - x_n)) \leq \varphi(t, \lambda(x - x_1))$  and  $\varphi(t, \lambda(x - x_1))$  is integrable over  $\Omega$ . Hence  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, \lambda(x - x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\lambda > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $X_{\varphi}$ . Now, if  $x \in E_{\varphi}$  is arbitrary, we write  $x$  in the form  $x = x_+ - x_-$ , where  $x_+$  and  $x_-$  are the positive part and the negative part of  $x$ , respectively. Since  $x_+, x_- \in E_{\varphi}$ , we may apply the former part of the proof.

2.3. We shall say that the measure  $\mu$  is finitely separable, if there exists a sequence of sets  $A_n \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  possessing the following property: for every  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(A) < \infty$  there exists a nondecreasing sequence of indices  $(n_i)$  for which  $\mu(A_{n_i} \Delta A) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let us remark that taking  $(A_{n_i})$  in such a manner that  $\mu(A_{n_i} \Delta A) < 2^{-i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $B = A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A - A_{n_i})$ , we obtain  $B \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,  $A \subset B$ ,  $A_{n_i} \subset B$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

2.4. Theorem. Let  $\varphi$  be regular and let for any given  $A \in \Sigma$  the condition  $\chi_A \in E_{\varphi}$  be equivalent to  $\mu(A) < \infty$ . If the measure  $\mu$  is finitely separable, then  $E_{\varphi}$  is a separable subspace of  $X_{\varphi}$ .

Proof. By 2.2, it is sufficient to show that the characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of any set  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$

may be approximated in  $X_{\mathcal{G}_s}$  as well as we please by characteristic functions of sets  $A_n$  from 2.3. Given  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , let us take the set  $B$  and the sequence  $(n_i)$  as in 2.3. Let  $0 < \eta < 1$ , then

$$\mu(\{t: \chi_{A_{n_i}} \cdot \chi_A(t) \geq \eta, t \in \Omega\}) = \mu(A_{n_i} \cdot A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $\chi_{A_{n_i}} \cdot \chi_A(t) \rightarrow 0$  in measure  $\mu$ . Let us take an

arbitrary  $\lambda > 0$  and let  $y_i = \lambda \chi_{A_{n_i}} \cdot \chi_A$ . One may find an

increasing sequence of indices  $(i_r)$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that  $y_{i_r}(t) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Moreover,  $0 \leq y_{i_r}(t) \leq \lambda \chi_B(t)$

for  $t \in \Omega$ . Applying 2.1 we get  $\mathcal{G}(t, y_{i_r}) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Moreover,  $\mathcal{G}(t, y_{i_r}) \leq \mathcal{G}(t, \lambda \chi_B)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G}(t, \lambda \chi_B) d\mu = \mathcal{G}_s(\lambda \chi_B) < \infty$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{G}_s(\lambda(\chi_{A_{n_{i_r}}} - \chi_A)) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G}(t, y_{i_r}) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently,  $\chi_{A_{n_{i_r}}} \rightarrow \chi_A$  in  $X_{\mathcal{G}_s}$ .

3. In this Section we shall suppose that  $\Omega \subset \Omega_0$ , where  $\Omega_0$  is a group with an operation  $+$ . Moreover, we shall suppose that if  $A \in \Sigma$ , then  $(A + t) \cap \Omega \in \Sigma$  for any  $t \in \Omega_0$ . For an arbitrary function  $x$  on  $\Omega$  we shall write  $x_0(t) = x(t)$  for  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $x_0(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \Omega_0 - \Omega$ . Moreover, if  $y$  is a function defined on  $\Omega_0$ , the restriction of  $y$  to  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $y|_{\Omega}$ . We shall write  $x_0(\cdot) = x_0$  and  $x_0(\cdot + h) = y$ , where  $y(t) = x_0(t + h)$ ,  $h \in \Omega_0$ .

3.1. We shall say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is translation semiinvariant at  $x \in X$ , if there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that for all  $t, h \in \Omega_0$  and for every  $\lambda > 0$  there holds the inequality

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{G}(t, \lambda x_0(\cdot + h)|_{\Omega}) \leq \mathcal{G}(t, K\lambda x).$$

3.2. It is easily observed that if  $\varphi$  is convex, then  $\varphi$  is translation seminvariant at  $x$ , if and only if, there exist constants  $K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that for all  $t, h \in \Omega_0$  and for every  $\lambda > 0$  there holds the inequality

$$\varphi(t, \lambda x_0(\cdot + h)|\Omega) \leq K_1 \varphi(t, K_2 \lambda x),$$

because  $K_1 \varphi(t, K_2 \lambda x) \leq \varphi(t, K_1, K_2 \lambda x)$  for  $K_1 > 1$ .

Also, if  $\varphi$  is convex,  $x(t), y(t) \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  is translation seminvariant both at  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $\varphi$  is translation seminvariant at  $x + y$ , too.

3.3. Lemma. Let  $\varphi$  be translation seminvariant at  $x$ . If  $x \in X_{\varphi_s}$ , then  $x_0(\cdot + h)|\Omega \in X_{\varphi_s}$  and  $[x_0(\cdot + h) - x_0(\cdot)]|\Omega \in X_{\varphi_s}$ . If  $x \in E_{\varphi_s}$ , then  $x_0(\cdot + h)|\Omega \in E_{\varphi_s}$  and  $[x_0(\cdot + h) - x_0(\cdot)]|\Omega \in E_{\varphi_s}$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in X_{\varphi_s}$  and let  $\lambda_0 > 0$  be chosen in such a manner that  $\varphi_s(\lambda_0 x) < \infty$ . Integrating the inequality (\*) over  $\Omega$  we get for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_0/K$

$$\varphi_s(\lambda x_0(\cdot + h)|\Omega) \leq \varphi_s(K \lambda x) \leq \varphi_s(\lambda_0 x) < \infty,$$

and so  $x_0(\cdot + h)|\Omega \in X_{\varphi_s}$ . Other parts of the lemma are shown similarly.

3.4. We shall investigate now the translation operator  $T_h$  defined for  $x \in X_{\varphi_s}$  and  $h \in \Omega_0$  as follows:

$$(T_h x)(t) = x_0(t + h)|\Omega \quad \text{for } t \in \Omega.$$

3.5. Theorem. Let  $\varphi$  be convex and translation seminvariant at  $x \in X_{\varphi_s}$  with a constant  $K > 0$ . Then  $T_h x \in X_{\varphi_s}$  and  $\|T_h x\|_{\varphi_s} \leq K \|x\|_{\varphi_s}$  for all  $h \in \Omega_0$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we have  $T_h x \in X_{\varphi_s}$ . Integrating the inequality (\*) over  $\Omega$  with  $\lambda = 1/\eta$ , we get

$$\varphi_s\left(\frac{T_h x}{\eta}\right) = \varphi_s\left(\frac{x_0(\cdot + h)|\Omega}{\eta}\right) \leq \varphi_s\left(\frac{Kx}{\eta}\right) \text{ for any } \eta > 0.$$

Consequently, we obtain  $\|T_h x\|_{\varphi_s} \leq K \|x\|_{\varphi_s}$ .

3.6. As an example, let us take  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by means of a kernel  $k$  as in 1.1, where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure. Let  $x \in X$  and let us suppose that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\int_{(\Omega-h) \cap \Omega} k(t, s, \lambda |x(s+h)|) ds \leq \int_{\Omega} k(t, s, K\lambda |x(s)|) ds$$

for all  $t, h \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and every  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is translation seminvariant at  $x$ .

4. In this Section,  $\Omega$  will mean a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\Sigma$  - the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu$  - the Lebesgue measure; we shall then write  $m$  in place of  $\mu$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of all sets of the form

$$P = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \alpha_p, \beta_p \rangle \subset \Omega,$$

where  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

4.1. Lemma. Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and let  $x = \chi_P$  be the characteristic function of the set  $P$  in  $\Omega$ . Let

$$y(t) = x_0(t+h) - x_0(t+k),$$

where  $h, k \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_p)$ ,  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_p)$ ,  $|h_i - k_i| < \beta_i - \alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Finally, let

$$B = \{t: y(t) \neq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^p\}.$$

Then

$$m(B) \leq \frac{2^{p+1}V}{a} |h - k|,$$

where  $V = (\beta_1 - \alpha_1) \dots (\beta_p - \alpha_p)$ ,  $a = \min_1 (\beta_i - \alpha_i)$  and  $|h - k|$  is the euclidean distance between  $h$  and  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

Proof. It is easily seen that  $y(t) = 1$  iff  $t \in P - h$  and  $t \in P - k$ ,  $y(t) = -1$  iff  $t \in P - k$  and  $t \in P - h$ , and  $y(t) = 0$  elsewhere. This shows that denoting

$$P_h = P - h, P_k = P - k, \text{ we have } B = (P_h \cap P_k') \cup (P_h' \cap P_k),$$

where the prime denotes the complement of the set with respect to  $\Omega$ , and  $m(B) = m(P_h \cap P_k') + m(P_h' \cap P_k)$ . Moreover,

it is easily observed that both sets  $P_h \cap P'_k$  and  $P_k \cap P'_h$  are contained in the set  $Q_1 \setminus Q_2$ , where

$$Q_1 = \langle \alpha_1 - |h_1 - k_1|, \beta_1 + |h_1 - k_1| \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \alpha_p - |h_p - k_p|, \beta_p + |h_p - k_p| \rangle,$$

$$Q_2 = \langle \alpha_1 + |h_1 - k_1|, \beta_1 - |h_1 - k_1| \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \alpha_p + |h_p - k_p|, \beta_p - |h_p - k_p| \rangle.$$

Writing  $a_i = \beta_i - \alpha_i$  and  $d_i = |h_i - k_i|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $r = \max_i d_i/a_i$ , we have then

$$m(B) \leq 2 m(Q_1 \setminus Q_2) \leq 2V \left[ \left(1 + \frac{d_1}{a_1}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 + \frac{d_p}{a_p}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{d_1}{a_1}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{d_p}{a_p}\right) \right] \leq 2V \left[ (1+r)^p - (1-r)^p \right] \leq 2^{p+1} V r \leq \frac{2^{p+1} V}{a} |h-k|.$$

4.2. Theorem. Let  $m(\Omega) < \infty$  or  $\chi_\Omega \in E_{\mathcal{G}_s}$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be absolutely continuous at any constant function. Let  $f(h) = T_h \chi_P$  for a fixed  $P \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then the map  $f: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_s}$  is uniformly continuous in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_s}$ , and in case of  $\mathcal{G}$  convex also in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}}$ .

Proof. First, we suppose  $m(\Omega) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  be given and let us choose  $\Omega_1$  and  $\delta > 0$  according to the definition of absolute continuity at  $x = \lambda \chi_\Omega$ , with  $\varepsilon/m(\Omega)$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ . Let us suppose that  $|h - k| < \eta$ , where  $\eta = \frac{a}{2^{p+1}V}$ ,  $a$  and  $V$  being defined in 4.1. Then the set  $B$  from 4.1 satisfies the inequality  $m(B) < \delta$ . Consequently,  $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G}(t, \lambda \chi_B | \Omega) < \varepsilon/m(\Omega)$  for  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1$ . Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} [\lambda(T_h \chi_P - T_k \chi_P)] = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G}(t, \lambda \chi_B | \Omega) dt < \varepsilon$$

for  $|h - k| < \eta$ . Now, let us suppose  $\varepsilon < 1$  and  $\lambda = 1/\varepsilon$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{T_h \chi_P - T_k \chi_P}{\varepsilon} \right) < \varepsilon < 1 \text{ for } |h - k| < \eta.$$

Thus,  $\|T_h \chi_P - T_k \chi_P\|_{\mathcal{G}_s} < \varepsilon$  for  $|h - k| < \eta$ , and in case of convex  $\mathcal{G}$ , also  $\|T_h \chi_P - T_k \chi_P\|_{\mathcal{G}} < \varepsilon$  for  $|h - k| < \eta$ .

Now, let us suppose that  $\chi_\Omega \in E_{\mathcal{G}_s}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}(t, 2\lambda \chi_\Omega)$  is integrable in  $\Omega$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ . Hence there exists a set  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $m(A) < \infty$ , such that  $\int_{\Omega \setminus A} \mathcal{G}(t, 2\lambda \chi_\Omega) dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .



Now, applying the first part of the proof with  $A$  in place of  $\Omega$  and  $2\lambda$  and  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  in place of  $\lambda$  and  $\varepsilon$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda} [\lambda(T_h \chi_P - T_k \chi_P)] &\leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi(t, \lambda \chi_B) dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_A \varphi(t, 2\lambda \chi_B) dt + \int_{\Omega \setminus A} \varphi(t, 2\lambda \chi_\Omega) dt < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $|h - k| < \eta$ , and the result follows as in the first part of the proof.

4.3. Corollary. Let  $m(\Omega) < \infty$  or  $\chi_\Omega \in E_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}$  and let  $\varphi$  be absolutely continuous at any constant function. Finally, let  $x = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \chi_{P_j}$ , where  $P_j \in \mathcal{P}$ , and  $f(h) = T_h x$ . Then the map  $f: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}$  is uniformly continuous in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}$ , and in case of  $\mathcal{S}$  convex also in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}$ .

4.4. Theorem. Let  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^p$  be an open set,  $m(\Omega) < \infty$  or  $\chi_\Omega \in E_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be absolutely continuous at any constant function. If  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $m(E) < \infty$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist sets  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}$  with pairwise disjoint interiors such that  $\|\chi_E - \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i}\|_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda} < \varepsilon$ . If  $\mathcal{S}$  is convex,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}$  may be replaced by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}$ .

Proof. First, let us suppose that  $m(\Omega) < \infty$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we apply the definition of absolute continuity at  $x = \lambda \chi_\Omega$  with  $\varepsilon/m(\Omega)$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\delta > 0$  be chosen, accordingly. There exists an open set  $G \subset \Omega$  such that  $E \subset G$  and  $m(G \setminus E) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Then  $G$  can be written in the form  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ , with  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $P_1, P_2, \dots$  have pairwise disjoint interiors, and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(P_i) = m(G) < \infty$ .

Hence  $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} m(P_i) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  for an index  $n$ . Let us write  $E_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$ , then  $m(G \setminus E_\varepsilon) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Thus, the symmetric difference  $E \Delta E_\varepsilon$  satisfies the inequality

$m(E \Delta E_\epsilon) \leq m(G \setminus E_\epsilon) + m(G \setminus E) < \delta$ . Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} [\lambda(\chi_E - \chi_{E_\epsilon})] = \int_{\Omega} \rho(t, \lambda \chi_{E \Delta E_\epsilon}) dt < \epsilon.$$

Choosing  $\epsilon < 1$  and  $\lambda = 1/\epsilon$ , we conclude  $\|\chi_E - \chi_{E_\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} < \epsilon$  or  $\|\chi_E - \chi_{E_\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} < \epsilon$  as in the proof of 4.2.

If we suppose  $\chi_\Omega \in E_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$ , and we argue as in the proof of 4.2, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} [\lambda(\chi_E - \chi_{E_\epsilon})] \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(t, 2\lambda \chi_{E \Delta E_\epsilon}) dt + \int_{\Omega \setminus A} \rho(t, 2\lambda \chi_\Omega) dt < \epsilon$$

for suitably chosen  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}$ .

4.5. Corollary. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^r$  be open. Let  $m(\Omega) < \infty$  or  $\chi_\Omega \in E_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$ . Moreover, let  $\rho$  be absolutely continuous at any constant function. If  $x = \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \chi_{E_i}$ , where  $E_i \in \Sigma$ ,  $m(E_i) < \infty$ , then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist numbers  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r$  and sets  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r \in \mathcal{P}$  with pairwise disjoint interiors such that  $\|x - \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \chi_{P_j}\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} < \epsilon$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is convex,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  may be replaced by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$ .

Applying both corollaries 4.3, 4.5 and theorems 3.5 and 2.2, we shall prove the following theorem on uniform continuity of  $f(h) = T_h x$ :

4.6. Theorem. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^r$  be open,  $m(\Omega) < \infty$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be convex, regular, absolutely continuous at any constant function and translation seminvariant at every  $x \in X_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  with a constant  $K > 0$  independent of  $x$ . Then the map  $f: \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  defined by  $f(h) = T_h x$  is uniformly continuous in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  provided  $x \in E_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$ .

Proof. By 3.5, we have  $\|T_h u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} \leq K \|u\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  for every  $u \in X_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$ . Let  $x \in E_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda}$  be given. By 2.2 and 4.5, there exists a function  $z = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \chi_{P_j}$  with  $P_j \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\|x - z\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} <$

$$< \epsilon/3K.$$

Hence

$$\|f(h) - f(k)\|_{\mathcal{G}_s} \leq 2K \|x - z\|_{\mathcal{G}_s} + \|T_h z - T_k z\|_{\mathcal{G}_s} < \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon +$$
  
$$+ \|T_h z - T_k z\|_{\mathcal{G}_s}. \text{ By 4.3, } T_h z: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{ is uniformly con-}$$
  
$$\text{tinuous. Hence there exists } \eta > 0 \text{ such that if } |h - k| < \eta,$$
  
$$\text{then } \|T_h z - T_k z\|_{\mathcal{G}_s} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \text{ Consequently, if } |h - k| < \eta, \text{ then}$$
  
$$\|f(h) - f(k)\|_{\mathcal{G}_s} < \varepsilon.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T.M. Jędryka, J. Musielak, On a modular equation /I/,  
Functiones et Approximatio 3 /1976/, in print.
- [2] T.M. Jędryka, J. Musielak, On a modular equation /II/,  
Relationes Mathem., in print.
- [3] J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, On modular spaces, Studia Math.  
18 /1959/, 49-65.
- [4] J. Musielak, A. Waszak, A contribution to the theory  
of modular spaces, Proceed. Prague Topol. Symposium,  
1971, 315-319.

#### SOME THEOREMS ON GENERALIZED MODULAR SPACES

##### Abstract

Let  $X_{\mathcal{G}_s}$  be the modular space generated by the modular  $\mathcal{G}_s(x) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G}(t, x) d\mu$ ,  $x$  - any measurable function over  $\Omega$ , and let  $E_{\mathcal{G}_s}$  be the subspace of finite elements of  $X_{\mathcal{G}_s}$ . There are considered problems of density of simple functions in  $E_{\mathcal{G}_s}$  and of separability of  $E_{\mathcal{G}_s}$ . This is applied in case  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  in order to investigate the problem of uniform continuity of the translation operator  $T_h x$  with respect to  $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

O PEWNYCH TWIERDZENIACH O UOGÓLNIONYCH  
PRZESTRZENIACH MODULARNYCH

Niech  $X_{\mathcal{E}_S}$  będzie przestrzenią modularną, generowaną poprzez modular  $\mathcal{E}_S(x) = \int_{\Omega} \zeta(t, x) d\mu$ , gdzie  $x$  jest funkcją mierzalną nad  $\Omega$  i niech  $E_{\mathcal{E}_S}$  będzie podprzestrzenią elementów skończonych z  $X_{\mathcal{E}_S}$ . Rozważa się problem gęstości funkcji prostych w  $E_{\mathcal{E}_S}$  oraz ośrodkowość  $E_{\mathcal{E}_S}$ . Rozważa się to w przypadku, gdy  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ , aby zbadać problem jednostajnej ciągłości operatora przesunięcia  $T_h x$  ze względu na  $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .