## On a modular equation II

T.M. Jedryka (Bydgoszcz) and J. Musielak (Poznań)

1. In [1], we investigated the modular equation of the form

$$x(t) = & g(t,x)$$
,

where g(t,x) is a family of pseudomodulars depending on the parameter t, applying the Banach fix-point theorem. We investigated solutions belonging to the modular space  $x_{S_s}$ , where  $g_s$  is given by formula (2) below. Here, we shall continue the investigation of the operator

(1) 
$$(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))(\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{X} g(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}), \mathcal{X} \neq 0$$
, in a ball in  $\mathbf{X} g$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an abstract nonempty set,  $\Sigma$  -a  $\mathscr{C}$ - algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathscr{W}$  - a nonnegative, finite measure in  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathscr{H}$  - the space of real-valued,  $\Sigma$  - measurable functions in  $\Omega$ ; two functions equal  $\mathscr{W}$  - almost everywhere on  $\Omega$  are regarded as one and the same element of  $\mathscr{H}$ . We denote by  $\mathscr{H}_0$  an arbitrary fixed linear subspace of  $\mathscr{H}$ . Let  $\mathscr{G}: \Omega \times \mathscr{H}_0 \to (0,\infty)$  be a map of  $\Omega \times \mathscr{H}_0$  in the extended real halfline, such that:

- 1)  $\rho(t,x)$  is a convex pseudomodular in  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  for a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ ,
- 2) if  $\varphi(t,x) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then x = 0,

3) g(t,x) is a  $\sum$  - measurable function in the variable t in  $\Re$ , for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}_o$ .

Let X be the set of functions  $x \in \mathcal{H}_o$  such that  $g(t, \lambda x) \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , and

(2)  $g_{s}(x) = \int_{\Omega} (t,x) d\mu$ , then  $g_{s}$  is a convex modular in X, and

 $X_{S_s} = \{x : x \in X, S_s(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}$  is a modular space, which is a normed linear space under the norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}}} = \inf \left\{ \gamma > 0 : \varsigma_{\mathbf{x}}(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\gamma}) \leqslant 1 \right\}.$$

It is easily seen that  $X_{S_s}$  consists exactly of such elements  $x \in X$  for which  $S_s(\lambda_o x) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda_o > 0$  (for the definitions, see [1], [2]).

Theorem 1. Let  $0 < M < \infty$ ,  $0 < M' < \infty$ . Let us suppose that for every  $x \in X$  and for all  $\lambda$  satisfying the inequalities  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{M}$ , there holds the inequality

(3) 
$$g(t, \lambda \times g(\cdot, x)) \leq g(t, \frac{\lambda M \times x}{M})$$
 a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Then the operator A defined by (1) maps  $K_{S_s}(M)$  in  $K_{S_s}(M')$  .

Proof. Integrating (3), we get

Theorem 2. Let  $\varsigma$  satisfy the assumption (3) of Theorem 1 with M'= M. Moreover, let us suppose that for every  $\xi > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for arbitrary  $\gamma > 0$  and for all  $x,y \in K_{\xi}$  (M)there holds the inequality

(4) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left( t, \frac{\int_{\Omega} \rho(\cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{x}) - \rho(\cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{y})}{\eta \, \mathcal{E}} \right) d\mu \leqslant \int_{\Omega} \rho(t, \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}}{2 \, \eta \, \mathcal{E}}) d\mu.$$

Then the operator A maps  $K_{\mathcal{C}_{s}}(M)$  into itself continuously.

Proof. By Theorem 1, .. maps K 9 (M) into itself. Now, we have

$$\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}}{\delta}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{s}} = |\mathbf{x}| \inf \left\{ \eta \right\} 0 : \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left(t, \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{x} \eta \delta}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$\left\|\frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y})}{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{s}} = |\mathbf{x}| \inf \left\{ \eta \right\} 0 : \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left(t, \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{x} \eta \delta}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Hence, applying (4) we observe that

$$\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}}{\delta}\right\|_{S} \leq 1$$
 implies  $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y})}{\delta}\right\|_{S} \leq 1$ ,

but this shows the continuity of A.

Similarly as in [1], Theorem 3, the following theorem

may be proved:

Theorem 3. Let g satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1. Moreover, let us suppose there exists a number 0 > 0 such

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{t}, \frac{g(\cdot \cdot \mathbf{x}) - g(\cdot \cdot \mathbf{y})}{m} \right) d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbf{t}, \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}}{2m} \right) d\mu$$

for all  $x,y \in K_{\rho}(M)$  and for every  $\eta > 0$ . Then the operator A satisfies the inequality

(5) ||A (x) - A (y)|| ≤ d || x - y || ≤ 5
for all x,y ∈ K ≤ (M).

3. Now, we shall apply the above theorems to the case of  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}$ , and of a modular

(6)  $g(t,x) = \int_{\Omega} k(t,s|x(s)|) d\mu(s)$ , where  $k: \Omega \times \Omega \times (0,\infty) \rightarrow (0,\infty)$  is a measurable function in  $\Omega \times \Omega \times (0,\infty)$ , k(t,s,0) = 0 a.e. in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  and k(t,s,u) is a continuous, convex function of the variable u for almost all  $t,s \in \Omega$  (see [1], formula (7)). We have then X = X, Let us denote

 $k_{1}^{\lambda}(t,u,v) = \int_{\Omega} k[t,s,\lambda k(s,u,v)] d\mu(s)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} (t,x) = \int_{\Omega} k_{1}^{\lambda}(t,s,\lambda x(s)) d\mu(s)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4. Let  $0 < M < \infty$ ,  $0 < M' < \infty$ . If the inequality (7)  $\int_{1}^{\Lambda} (t,x) \le |\Omega| |S(t,\lambda)| \frac{M'}{M} x$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ 

holds for all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{M}$ , then the operator

(8) (A(x)) (t) =  $\Re \int_{\Omega} k (t,s, |x(s)|) d\mu(s)$ Exps  $K_{S}(M)$  in  $K_{S}(M')$  for each  $\Re$  such that  $|\Re| \le \frac{1}{|\Omega|}$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in X_{S}$ ,  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{M}$ . Since  $|\Re| \cdot |\Omega| \le 1$ .

applying Jensen inequality we have

$$\leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} k[t,s,\lambda k(s,u,|x(u)|)] d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(s) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} k^{\lambda}_{1}(t,u,|x(u)|) d\mu(u) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} g^{\lambda}_{1}(t,x) \leq g(t,\lambda_{M}^{M^{\lambda}}x)$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$ , by the assumption (7). Applying Theorem 1 we conclude that A maps  $K_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$  in  $K_{\mathcal{S}}(M')$ .

Theorem 5. Let us suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4 are satisfied with M'= M and that for every  $\beta > 0$  there exists a number > 0 such that

(9) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} k[t, u, \frac{1}{\beta}] k(u, v, |x(v)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] d\mu(u) \leq \int_{\Omega} k[t, u, \frac{|x(u) - y(u)|}{\chi}] d\mu(u)$$

for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{K}_{5}(M)$ . Then the operator A defined by (8) maps  $\mathbb{K}_{5}(M)$  into itself, continuously.

Proof. Let us take any  $\eta > 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary.

Taking  $\beta = \frac{\eta \, \xi}{1 \Omega \, l}$  and choosing  $\delta = \frac{\zeta}{\Re \, \eta}$ , where  $\chi$  is

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} k[t, u \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta \epsilon} | k(u, v, |x(v)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) | d\mu(v) \le \\ \le k[t, u, \frac{|x(u) - y(u)|}{|x| |\eta \delta|}]$$
for almost all  $(t, u) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ . Hence, applying Jensen

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} g(t, \frac{\rho(\cdot, x) - \rho(\cdot, y)}{\eta \varepsilon}) d\mu(t) \leq$$

$$\leq \int \left[\frac{1}{\Re i} \int \left(\int k\left[t,u,\frac{|\Omega|}{\eta \, t} \left| k(u,v,|x(v)|) - k(u,v,|y(v)|) \right| \right] d\mu(u) \right) d\mu(u) \right] d\mu(u) d\mu(u)$$

$$\leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(t) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(t) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(t) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(u) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(u) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(u) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(u) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(u) d\mu(u) \leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\Omega_{1}} \left\{ k\left[t, u, \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta_{\varepsilon}} | k(u, v, |x(u)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right] \right\} d\mu(u) d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(u) d$$

$$\leq \int \left\{ \int_{\Omega} k \left[ t_{i} u_{i}, \frac{|x(u) - y(u)|}{|x| \eta J} \right] d\mu(u) \right\} d\mu(t) =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} g(t, \frac{x-\alpha}{x\eta J}) d\mu(t),$$

for almost every  $t \in \Omega$ . This proves the inequality (4). Applying Theorem 2, we conclude the continuity of the operator A.

Theorem 6. Let us suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4 are satisfied and that for a fixed number  $\alpha > 0$  there holds the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ t_{i} u_{i} \frac{\Omega}{\eta} | k(u_{i} v_{i} | x(v)) - k(u_{i} v_{i} | y(v)) \right] d\mu(v) \right\} d\mu(u) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ t_{i} u_{i} \frac{\omega}{|x|\eta} | x(u) - y(u) \right] d\mu(u)$$

for all  $\eta > 0$ , almost all  $t \in \Omega$  and for arbitrary  $x,y \in K_{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ . Then the operator A satisfies the inequality (5) for all  $x,y \in K_{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ .

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} g(t, \frac{p(\cdot, x) - p(\cdot, y)}{2}) d\mu(t) \leq$$

$$\leq \int \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} k \left[t, u \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta} \left| k(u, v, |x(v)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right| \right] d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right] d\mu(t) \leq \int \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} k \left[t, u \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta} \left| k(u, v, |x(v)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right| \right] d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right] d\mu(t) \leq \int \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} k \left[t, u \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta} \left| k(u, v, |x(v)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right| \right] d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right] d\mu(t) \leq \int \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} k \left[t, u \frac{|\Omega|}{\eta} \left| k(u, v, |x(v)|) - k(u, v, |y(v)|) \right| \right] d\mu(v) d\mu(u) \right] d\mu(u) d\mu(u)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} g(t, \frac{x-y}{x}) d\mu(t)$$

for a.e. t  $\in \Omega$  . By Theorem 3, we conclude the inequality (5).

We proved in [1], Theorem 6, that if k satisfies the following condition:

(c) for every  $\xi > 0$ , there holds  $\int k(t,s,\xi) d\mu(t) > 0$  for a.e.  $s \in \Omega$ ,

then the space X 9 is complete.

Hence applying the Banach fix-point theorem in  $K_{S}(M)$  to the operator A defined as above, and to the operator B(x) = A(x) + y,  $y \in X_{S}$  being fixed, we obtain easily the following result:

Theorem 7. Let us suppose the assumptions of Theorem 6 to be satisfied, and let k satisfy the condition (C). Then the integral equation

 $x (t) = \mathcal{H} \int_{\Omega} k (t,u, | x(u)|) d\mu(u)$  has only the trivial solution x = 0 in  $K_{\mathcal{G}}(M)$ . Moreover, if  $0 < \mathcal{V} < 1$  is fixed and if the assumptions of Theorem 4 are satisfied with  $M = \mathcal{V} M$  and  $y \in K_{\mathcal{G}}((1 - \mathcal{V})M)$ , then the integral equation

 $x (t) = x \int_{\frac{d}{dt}} k (t,u, | x(u)|) d\mu(u) + y(t)$  has exactly one solution in  $K_{\frac{d}{2}}(M)$ .

4. As an example of modular of the form (5), let us take  $\Omega = \langle 0,1 \rangle$ ,  $\mu =$  the Lebesgue measure,

(10)  $k(t,s,u) = k_1(t,s) \cdot |u|$ ,
where  $k_1$  is measurable in  $\langle 0,1\rangle \times \langle 0,1\rangle$ ,  $\int_0^1 k_1(t,s) dt > 0$  for almost all  $s \in \langle 0,1\rangle$ . Then we have

$$\begin{cases} (t,x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{1}(t,s) | x(s) | ds, \\ k_{1}^{\lambda}(t,u,v) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{1}(t,s) | k_{1}(s,u) | ds \cdot |v|, \\ \int_{0}^{\lambda} (t,x) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{1}(t,s) | k_{1}(s,u) | ds \} | x(u) | du$$
 for any  $\lambda > 0$ . Moreover,  $x \in X_{0}$  is equivalent to the condition

$$\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} k_{1} (t,s) |x(s)| ds dt < \infty$$

Supposing that

(11) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} k_{1}(t,s) k_{1}(s,u) ds \leq \sqrt[3]{k_{1}(t,u)}$$

for almost all  $(t,u) \in \langle 0,1 \rangle \times \langle 0,1 \rangle$ ,  $0 < \emptyset \le 1$ , we obtain the condition (7) with any  $M' \le \emptyset$  M for arbitrary  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $|\mathcal{X}| \le 1$ . Moreover, applying (11) we obtain the inequality (9) with  $\chi = \frac{\beta}{\emptyset}$ . Furthermore, if  $0 < \alpha \le |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \emptyset$ , then the operator A satisfies the inequality (5). Obviously, the condition (C) is satisfied, and so  $X_{S_s}$  is complete. Hence, applying Theorem 7, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 8. Let k and  $k_1$  be defined by (10), and let us suppose (11) to be satisfied. Moreover, let  $|\mathcal{K}| < 1$ . Then the integral equation  $x(t) = \chi \int_{\zeta} k_1(t,u)$ .

• |x(u)| du + y(t) has for any  $y \in K_{\zeta}((1-\vartheta)M)$  exactly

one solution x in  $K_{S_5}$  (M).

## References

- 1 T. M. Jedryka, J. Musielsk, On a modular equation I, Functiones et Approximatio 3 1976, 101-111.
- 2 J. Musielak, A. Waszak, A contribution to the theory of modular spaces, Proceedings of the Third Prague Topological Symposium 1971, 315-319.